



### Two-Way Joist Concrete Slab Floor (Waffle Slab) System Analysis and Design







#### Two-Way Joist Concrete Slab Floor (Waffle Slab) System Analysis and Design

Design the concrete floor slab system shown below for an intermediate floor with partition weight of 50 psf, and unfactored live load of 100 psf. The lateral loads are independently resisted by shear walls. A flat plate system will be considered first to illustrate the impact longer spans and heavier applied loads. A waffle slab system will be investigated since it is economical for longer spans with heavy loads. The dome voids reduce the dead load and electrical fixtures can be fixed in the voids. Waffle system provides an attractive ceiling that can be left exposed when possible producing savings in architectural finishes. The Equivalent Frame Method (EFM) shown in ACI 318 is used in this example. The hand solution from EFM is also used for a detailed comparison with the model results of spSlab engineering software program from <u>StructurePoint</u>.







# Contents

1.	Preliminary Member Sizing	1
2.	Flexural Analysis and Design	13
	2.1. Equivalent Frame Method (EFM)	13
	2.1.1. Limitations for use of equivalent frame method	14
	2.1.2. Frame members of equivalent frame	14
	2.1.3. Equivalent frame analysis	17
	2.1.4. Factored moments used for Design	19
	2.1.5. Factored moments in slab-beam strip	21
	2.1.6. Flexural reinforcement requirements	22
	2.1.7. Factored moments in columns	26
3.	Design of Columns by spColumn	28
	3.1. Determination of factored loads	28
	3.2. Moment Interaction Diagram	30
4.	Shear Strength	34
	4.1. One-Way (Beam action) Shear Strength	34
	4.1.1. At distance <i>d</i> from the supporting column	34
	4.1.2. At the face of the drop panel	35
	4.2. Two-Way (Punching) Shear Strength	37
	4.2.1. Around the columns faces	37
	4.2.2. Around drop panels	40
5.	Serviceability Requirements (Deflection Check)	45
	5.1. Immediate (Instantaneous) Deflections	45
	5.2. Time-Dependent (Long-Term) Deflections ( $\Delta_{lt}$ )	57
6.	spSlab Software Program Model Solution	58
7.	Summary and Comparison of Design Results	80
8.	Conclusions & Observations	





#### Code

Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-14) and Commentary (ACI 318R-14)

#### Reference

Concrete Floor Systems (Guide to Estimating and Economizing), Second Edition, 2002 David A. Fanella, Portland Cement Association.

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, Twelfth Edition, 2013, Portland Cement Association.

Simplified Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings, Fourth Edition, 2011 Mahmoud E. Kamara and Lawrence C. Novak

Control of Deflection in Concrete Structures (ACI 435R-95), American Concrete Institute

Reinforced Concrete Design .. .Hassoun, McGraw Hill

#### **Design Data**

Story Height = 13 ft (provided by architectural drawings)

Superimposed Dead Load, SDL = 50 psf for Frame walls, hollow concrete masonry unit wythe, 12 in. thick, 125 pcf unit density, with no grout

<u>ASCE/SEI 7-10 (Table C3-1)</u> <u>ASCE/SEI 7-10 (Table 4-1)</u>

Live Load, LL = 100 psf for Recreational uses – Gymnasiums

 $f_c$ ' = 5000 psi (for slab)  $f_c$ ' = 6000 psi (for columns)

 $f_{y} = 60,000 \text{ psi}$ 

#### Solution

#### 1. Preliminary Member Sizing

#### Preliminary Flat Plate (without Joists)

a. <u>Slab minimum thickness – Deflection</u>
 <u>ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1)</u>
 In lieu of detailed calculation for deflections, ACI 318 minimum slab thickness for two-way construction without interior beams is given in *Table 8.3.1.1*.

For flat plate slab system, the minimum slab thickness per ACI 318-14 are:

ACI 318-14 (Table 8.3.1.1)
<u>ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1(a))</u>
ACI 318-14 (Table 8.3.1.1)
<u>ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1(a))</u>

Where  $l_n$  = length of clear span in the long direction = 33 x 12 - 20 = 376 in. Use 13 in. slab for all panels (self-weight = 150 pcf x 13 in. /12 = 162.5 psf)



b. <u>Slab shear strength – one way shear</u>

Evaluate the average effective depth (Figure 2):

$$d_{l} = h_{s} - c_{clear} - d_{b} - \frac{d_{b}}{2} = 13 - 0.75 - 0.75 - \frac{0.75}{2} = 11.13 \text{ in}$$
$$d_{t} = h_{s} - c_{clear} - \frac{d_{b}}{2} = 13 - 0.75 - \frac{0.75}{2} = 11.88 \text{ in}.$$
$$d_{avg} = \frac{d_{l} + d_{t}}{2} = \frac{11.13 + 11.88}{2} = 11.51 \text{ in}.$$

Where:

 $c_{clear} = 3/4$  in. for # 6 steel bar

 $d_b = 0.75$  in. for # 6 steel bar



Figure 2 - Two-Way Flat Concrete Floor System

Factored dead load,  $q_{Du} = 1.2 \times (162.5 + 50) = 255$  psf Factored live load,  $q_{Lu} = 1.6 \times 100 = 160$  psf Total factored load,  $q_u = 255 + 160 = 415$  psf

Check the adequacy of slab thickness for beam action (one-way shear)

#### ACI 318-14 (22.5)

ACI 318-14 (5.3.1)

ACI 318-14 (Table 20.6.1.3.1)

#### at an interior column:

Consider a 12-in. wide strip. The critical section for one-way shear is located at a distance d, from the face of support (see Figure 3):

Tributary are for one-way shear is  $A_{Tributary} = \left[\frac{33}{2} - \frac{20}{2 \times 12} - \frac{11.51}{12}\right] \times \frac{12}{12} = 14.71 \text{ ft}^2$   $V_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.415 \times 14.71 = 6.10 \text{ kips}$   $V_c = 2\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d$ <u>ACI 318-14 (Eq. 22.5.5.1)</u>

Where  $\lambda = 1$  for normal weight concrete



$$\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 2 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{5000} \times 12 \times \frac{11.51}{1000} = 14.64 \text{ kips} > V_u$$

Slab thickness of 13 in. is adequate for one-way shear.

#### c. <u>Slab shear strength – two-way shear</u>

Check the adequacy of slab thickness for punching shear (two-way shear) at an interior column (Figure 4):

Tributary area for two-way shear is 
$$A_{Tributary} = (33 \times 33) - \left(\frac{20 + 11.51}{12}\right)^2 = 1082 \text{ ft}^2$$

 $V_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.415 \times 1082 = 449.08$  kips

 $V_c = 4\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d$  (For square interior column)

ACI 318-14 (Table 22.6.5.2(a))

$$V_c = 4 \times \sqrt{5000} \times (4 \times (20 + 11.51)) \times \frac{11.51}{1000} = 409.84 \text{ kips}$$
$$\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 409.84 = 307.38 \text{ kips} < V_u$$

Slab thickness of 13 in. is not adequate for two-way shear. This is expected as the self-weight an applied loads are very challenging for a flat plate system.



Figure 3 – Critical Section for One-Way Shear

Figure 4 - Critical Section for Two-Way Shear

In this case, four options can be considered: 1) increase the slab thickness further, 2) use headed shear reinforcement in the slab, 3) apply drop panels at columns, or 4) use two-way joist slab system. In this example, the latter option will be used to achieve better understanding for the design of two-way joist slab often called two-way ribbed slab or waffle slab.

Check the applicable joist dimensional limitations as follows:

Width of ribs shall be at least 4 in. at any location along the depth.
 Use ribs with 6 in. width.



2)	Overall depth of ribs shall not exceed 3.5 times the minimum width.	<u>ACI 318-14 (9.8.1.3)</u>
	3.5 x 6 in. = 21 in. $\rightarrow$ Use ribs with 14 in. depth.	
3)	Clear spacing between ribs shall not exceed 30 in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (9.8.1.4)</u>
	Use 30 in. clear spacing.	
4)	Slab thickness (with removable forms) shall be at least the greater of:	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.8.3.1)</u>

- a) 1/12 clear distance between ribs =  $1/12 \ge 30 = 2.5$  in.
- b) 2 in.

Use a slab thickness of 3 in. > 2.5 in.



#### Figure 5 – Joists Dimensions

In waffle slabs a drop panel is automatically invoked to guarantee adequate two-way (punching) shear resistance at column supports. This is evident from the flat plate check conducted using 13 in. indicating insufficient punching shear capacity above. Check the drop panel dimensional limitations as follows:

1) The drop panel shall project below the slab at least one-fourth of the adjacent slab thickness.

#### ACI 318-14 (8.2.4(a))

Since the slab thickness ( $h_{MI}$  – calculated in page 7 of this document) is 12 in., the thickness of the drop panel should be at least:

$$h_{dp, min} = 0.25 \times h_{MI} = 0.25 \times 12 = 3$$
 in.

Drop panel depth are also controlled by the rib depth (both at the same level). For nominal lumber size (2x),  $h_{dp} = h_{rib} = 14$  in.  $> h_{dp, min} = 3$  in.

The total thickness including the actual slab and the drop panel thickness  $(h) = h_s + h_{dp} = 3 + 14 = 17$  in.

2) The drop panel shall extend in each direction from the centerline of support a distance not less than onesixth the span length measured from center-to-center of supports in that direction.

#### ACI 318-14 (8.2.4(b))



$$L_{I,dp\_min} = \frac{1}{6} \times L_I + \frac{1}{6} \times L_I = \frac{1}{6} \times 33 + \frac{1}{6} \times 33 = 11 \text{ ft}$$
$$L_{2,dp\_min} = \frac{1}{6} \times L_2 + \frac{1}{6} \times L_2 = \frac{1}{6} \times 33 + \frac{1}{6} \times 33 = 11 \text{ ft}$$
Use  $L_{I,dp} = L_{2,dp} = 12 \text{ ft} > L_{I,dp\_min} = L_{2,dp\_min} = 11 \text{ ft}$ 

Based on the previous discussion, Figure 6 shows the dimensions of the selected two-way joist system.









ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1)

#### Preliminary Two-Way Joist Slab (Waffle Slab)

For slabs with changes in thickness and subjected to bending in two directions, it is necessary to check shear at multiple sections as defined in the *ACI 318-14*. The critical sections shall be located with respect to:

1) Edges or corners of columns.	<u>ACI 318-14 (22.6.4.1(a))</u>
2) Changes in slab thickness, such as edges of drop panels.	ACI 318-14 (22.6.4.1(b))

a. <u>Slab minimum thickness – Deflection</u>

In lieu of detailed calculation for deflections, ACI 318 Code gives minimum slab thickness for two-way construction without interior beams in *Table 8.3.1.1*.

For this slab system, the minimum slab thicknesses per ACI 318-14 are:

Exterior Panels: $h_s = \frac{l_n}{33} = \frac{376}{33} = 11.4$ in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (Table 8.3.1.1)</u>
But not less than 4 in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1(b))</u>
Interior Panels: $h_s = \frac{l_n}{36} = \frac{376}{36} = 10.4$ in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (Table 8.3.1.1)</u>
But not less than 4 in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1(b))</u>

Where  $l_n$  = length of clear span in the long direction = 33 x 12 - 20 = 376 in.

For the purposes of analysis and design, the ribbed slab will be replaced with a solid slab of equivalent moment of inertia, weight, punching shear capacity, and one-way shear capacity.

The equivalent thickness based on moment of inertia is used to find slab stiffness considering the ribs in the direction of the analysis only. The ribs spanning in the transverse direction are not considered in the stiffness computations. This thickness,  $h_{MI}$ , is given by:

$$h_{MI} = \left(\frac{12 \times I_{rib}}{b_{rib}}\right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{12 \times 5135}{36}\right)^{1/3} = 12 \text{ in.}$$
spSlab Software Manual (Eq. 2-11)

Where:

 $I_{rib}$  = Moment of inertia of one joist section between centerlines of ribs (see Figure 7a).

 $b_{rib}$  = The center-to-center distance of two ribs (clear rib spacing plus rib width) (see Figure 7a).

Since  $h_{MI} = 12$  in.  $> h_{min} = 11.4$  in., the deflection calculation can be neglected. However, the deflection calculation will be included in this example for comparison with the spSlab software results.

The drop panel depth for two-way joist (waffle) slab is set equal to the rib depth. The equivalent drop depth based on moment of inertia,  $d_{MI}$ , is given by:

$$d_{MI} = h_{MI} + h_{rib} = 12 + 5 = 17$$
 in. spSlab Software Manual (Eq. 2-12)

Where  $h_{rib} = 3 + 14 - 12 = 5$  in.







Find system self-weight using the equivalent thickness based on the weight of individual components (see the following Figure). This thickness,  $h_w$ , is given by:

$$h_w = \frac{V_{mod}}{A_{mod}} = \frac{66.037}{99} = 8.005 \text{ in.}$$
spSlab Software Manual (Eq. 2-10)

Where:

 $V_{mod}$  = The Volume of one joist module (the transverse joists are included – 11 joists in the frame strip).

$$V_{mod} = V_{Longitudinal Joist} + V_{Transverse Joists} - V_{Intersection between Joists}$$
$$V_{Longitudinal Joist} = \left(\frac{6+8.33}{2} \times 14 + 3 \times 36\right) \times (33 \times 12) = 47.74 \text{ ft}^3$$
$$V_{Transverse Joists} = 11 \times \left(\frac{6+8.33}{2} \times 14\right) \times 36 = 22.99 \text{ ft}^3$$
$$V_{Intersection between Joists} = 11 \times \left(\frac{6^2 + 8.33^2}{2} \times 14\right) = 4.70 \text{ ft}^3$$
$$V_{mod} = 47.74 + 22.99 - 4.70 = 66.03 \text{ ft}^3$$

 $A_{mod}$  = The plan area of one joist module = 33 x 36/12 = 99 ft<sup>2</sup>

Self-weight for slab section without drop panel = 150 pcf x 8 in. / 12 = 100.057 psfSelf-weight for drop panel = 150 pcf x (14 + 3 - 8) in. / 12 = 112.44 psf







Figure 7b - Equivalent Thickness Based on the Weight of Individual Components

#### b. <u>Slab shear strength - one-way shear</u>

For critical section at distance *d* from the edge of the column (slab section with drop panel): Evaluate the average effective depth:

$$d_{l} = h_{s} - c_{clear} - d_{b} - \frac{d_{b}}{2} = 17 - 0.75 - 0.75 - \frac{0.75}{2} = 15.13 \text{ in.}$$
$$d_{t} = h_{s} - c_{clear} - \frac{d_{b}}{2} = 17 - 0.75 - \frac{0.75}{2} = 15.88 \text{ in.}$$
$$d_{avg} = \frac{d_{l} + d_{t}}{2} = \frac{15.13 + 15.88}{2} = 15.50 \text{ in.}$$
Where:

ACI 318-14 (Table 20.6.1.3.1)

 $d_b = 0.75$  in. for # 6 steel bar

 $c_{clear} = 3/4$  in. for # 6 steel bar

 $h_s = 17$  in. = The drop depth ( $d_{MI}$ )

Factored dead load  $\rightarrow q_{Du} = 1.2 \times (150 \times 17/12 + 50) = 315 \text{ psf}$ Factored live load  $\rightarrow q_{Lu} = 1.6 \times 100 = 160 \text{ psf}$ Total factored load  $\rightarrow q_u = 315 + 160 = 475 \text{ psf}$ ACI 318-14 (5.3.1)



Check the adequacy of slab thickness for beam action (one-way shear) from the edge of the interior column

#### ACI 318-14 (22.5)

Consider a 12-in. wide strip. The critical section for one-way shear is located at a distance d, from the edge of the column (see Figure 8)

Tributary area for one-way shear is 
$$A_{Tributary} = \left[\frac{33}{2} - \frac{20}{2 \times 12} - \frac{15.50}{12}\right] \times \frac{12}{12} = 14.38 \text{ ft}^2$$

 $V_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.475 \times 14.38 = 6.83$  kips

 $V_c = 2\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d$ 

ACI 318-14 (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

ACI 318-14 (Table 20.6.1.3.1)

Where  $\lambda = 1$  for normal weight concrete

$$\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 2 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{5000} \times 12 \times \frac{15.50}{1000} = 19.73 \text{ kips} > V_u$$

Slab thickness is adequate for one-way shear for the first critical section (from the edge of the column).

For critical section at the edge of the drop panel (slab section without drop panel):

Evaluate the average effective depth:

$$d_l = h_s - c_{clear} - d_b - \frac{d_b}{2} = 12 - 0.75 - 0.75 - \frac{0.75}{2} = 10.13$$
 in.

$$d_{t} = h_{s} - c_{clear} - \frac{a_{b}}{2} = 12 - 0.75 - \frac{0.75}{2} = 10.88 \text{ in.}$$
$$d_{avg} = \frac{d_{t} + d_{t}}{2} = \frac{10.13 + 10.88}{2} = 10.50 \text{ in.}$$

2

Where:

 $c_{clear} = 3/4$  in. for # 6 steel bar

 $d_b = 0.75$  in. for # 6 steel bar

Factored dead load 
$$\rightarrow q_{Du} = 1.2 \times (100.057 + 50) = 180.07 \text{ psf}$$
  
Factored live load  $\rightarrow q_{Lu} = 1.6 \times 100 = 160 \text{ psf}$   
Total factored load  $\rightarrow q_u = 180.07 + 160 = 340.07 \text{ psf}$   
 $ACI 318-14 (5.3.1)$ 

Check the adequacy of slab thickness for beam action (one-way shear) from the edge of the interior drop ACI 318-14 (22.5) panel

Consider a 12-in. wide strip. The critical section for one-way shear is located at the face of the solid head (see Figure 8)



Tributary area for one-way shear is 
$$A_{Tributary} = \left[\frac{33}{2} - \frac{12}{2}\right] \times \frac{12}{12} = 10.50 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$V_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.340 \times 10.50 = 3.57$$
 kips

$$V_c = 2\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d$$
 ACI 318-14 (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

Where  $\lambda = 1$  for normal weight concrete

$$\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 2 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{5000} \times 12 \times \frac{10.50}{1000} = 13.36 \text{ kips} > V_u$$

Slab thickness of 12 in. is adequate for one-way shear for the second critical section (at the edge of the drop panel).



Critical Section from the Edge of the Column

Critical Section from the edge of the Drop Panel

Figure 8 - Critical Sections for One-Way Shear

#### Slab shear strength - two-way shear c.

#### For critical section at distance d/2 from the edge of the column (slab section with drop panel):

Check the adequacy of slab thickness for punching shear (two-way shear) at an interior column (Figure 9): Tributary area of two-way shear for the slab without the drop panel is:

$$A_{\text{Tributary 1}} = (33 \times 33) - (12 \times 12) = 945 \text{ ft}^2$$

Tributary area of two-way shear for the slab with the drop panel is:

$$A_{Tributary_2} = (12 \times 12) - \left(\frac{20 + 15.50}{12}\right)^2 = 135 \text{ ft}^2$$
$$V_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.340 \times 945 + 0.475 \times 135 = 385 \text{ kips}$$



 $V_c = 4\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_o d$  (For square interior column)

$$V_c = 4 \times \sqrt{5000} \times (4 \times (20 + 15.50)) \times \frac{15.50}{1000} = 622.5 \text{ kips}$$

$$\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 622.5 = 467 \text{ kips} > V_u$$

Slab thickness is adequate for two-way shear for the first critical section (from the edge of the column).

For critical section at the edge of the drop panel (slab section without drop panel):

Check the adequacy of slab thickness for punching shear (two-way shear) at an interior drop panel (Figure 9):

Tributary area for two-way shear is  $A_{Tributary} = (33 \times 33) - (12)^2 = 945 \text{ ft}^2$ 

 $V_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.340 \times 945 = 321 \text{ kips}$ 

 $V_c = 4\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_o d$  (For square interior column)

ACI 318-14 (Table 22.6.5.2(a))

ACI 318-14 (Table 22.6.5.2(a))

$$V_c = 4 \times \sqrt{5000} \times (4 \times 144) \times \frac{10.50}{1000} = 1835 \text{ kips}$$
  
 $\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 1835 = 1376.5 \text{ kips} > V_u$ 

Slab thickness of 12 in. is adequate for two-way shear for the second critical section (from the edge of the drop panel).





d. Column dimensions - axial load



Check the adequacy of column dimensions for axial load:

Tributary area for interior column for live load, superimposed dead load, and self-weight of the slab is

$$A_{Tributary} = 33 \times 33 = 1089 \text{ ft}^2$$

Tributary area for interior column for self-weight of additional slab thickness due to the presence of the drop panel is

 $A_{Tributary} = 12 \times 12 = 144 \text{ ft}^2$ 

Assuming four story building

 $P_{\mu} = n \times q_{\mu} \times A_{Tributary} = 4 \times (0.340 \times 1089 + 0.135 \times 144) = 1559 \text{ kips}$ 

Assume 20 in. square column with 12 – No. 11 vertical bars with design axial strength,  $\varphi P_{n,max}$  of

$$\varphi P_{n,\max} = 0.80 \varphi (0.85 f'_c (A_g - A_{st}) + f_y A_{st})$$
ACI 318-14 (22.4.2)

 $\varphi P_{n,\text{max}} = 0.80 \times 0.65 \times (0.85 \times 6000 \times (20 \times 20 - 12 \times 1.56) + 60000 \times 12 \times 1.56) = 1,595,220 \text{ lbs}$ 

 $\varphi P_{n,\text{max}} = 1,595 \text{ kips} > P_u = 1,559 \text{ kips}$ 

Column dimensions of 20 in. x 20 in. are adequate for axial load.

#### 2. Flexural Analysis and Design

ACI 318 states that a slab system shall be designed by any procedure satisfying equilibrium and geometric compatibility, provided that strength and serviceability criteria are satisfied. Distinction of two-systems from one-way systems is given by <u>ACI 318-14 (R8.10.2.3 & R8.3.1.2)</u>.

ACI 318 permits the use of Direct Design Method (DDM) and Equivalent Frame Method (EFM) for the gravity load analysis of orthogonal frames and is applicable to flat plates, flat slabs, and slabs with beams. The following sections outline the solution per EFM and spSlab software. For the solution per DDM, check <u>the flat plate</u> <u>example</u>.

#### 2.1. Equivalent Frame Method (EFM)

EFM is the most comprehensive and detailed procedure provided by the ACI 318 for the analysis and design of two-way slab systems where the structure is modeled by a series of equivalent frames (interior and exterior) on column lines taken longitudinally and transversely through the building.

The equivalent frame consists of three parts (for a detailed discussion of this method, refer to the flat plate design example):

- 1) Horizontal slab-beam strip.
- 2) Columns or other vertical supporting members.
- 3) Elements of the structure (Torsional members) that provide moment transfer between the horizontal and vertical members.

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1)

Slab thickness =  $h = h_{MI} = 12$  in. and drop thickness =  $d_{MI} - h_{MI} = 17 - 12 = 5$  in.

slab thickness 12

 $K_{sb} = 5.54 \times 4287 \times 10^3 \times \frac{57,024}{396} = 3,420 \times 10^6$  in.-lb

$$E_{cs} = w_c^{1.3} \, 33 \sqrt{f_c'} = 150^{1.3} \times 33 \times \sqrt{5000} = 4287 \times 10^3 \text{ ps}$$

Uniform load fixed end moment coefficient,  $m_{NFI} = 0.0911$ Fixed end moment coefficient for (b-a) = 0.2 when a = 0,  $m_{NF2} = 0.0171$ Fixed end moment coefficient for (b-a) = 0.2 when a = 0.8,  $m_{NF3} = 0.0016$ 

Structure Point

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS

In EFM, live load shall be arranged in accordance with 6.4.3 which requires slab systems to be analyzed and designed for the most demanding set of forces established by investigating the effects of live load placed in ACI 318-14 (8.11.1.2 & 6.4.3) various critical patterns. Complete analysis must include representative interior and exterior equivalent frames in both the longitudinal and transverse directions of the floor. ACI 318-14 (8.11.2.1) Panels shall be rectangular, with a ratio of longer to shorter panel dimensions, measured center-to-center of ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.3) supports, not to exceed 2.

#### 2.1.2. Frame members of equivalent frame

Determine moment distribution factors and fixed-end moments for the equivalent frame members. The moment distribution procedure will be used to analyze the equivalent frame. Stiffness factors k, carry over factors COF, and fixed-end moment factors FEM for the slab-beams and column members are determined using the design aids tables at Appendix 20A of PCA Notes on ACI 318-11. These calculations are shown below.

- a. Flexural stiffness of slab-beams at both ends,  $K_{sb}$ .
  - $\frac{c_{N1}}{\ell_1} = \frac{20}{(33 \times 12)} = 0.051 , \ \frac{c_{N2}}{\ell_2} = \frac{20}{(33 \times 12)} = 0.051$

For  $c_{F1} = c_{N1}$ , stiffness factors,  $k_{NF} = k_{FN} = 5.54$ 

Thus,  $K_{sb} = k_{NF} \frac{E_{cs}I_s}{\ell} = 5.54 \frac{E_{cs}I_s}{\ell}$ PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1) Where,  $I_s = \frac{\ell_s h^3}{12} = \frac{396 \times (12)^3}{12} = 57,024 \text{ in.}^4$  $-10^{1.5} 23 \sqrt{f'} - 150^{1.5} \times 33 \times \sqrt{5000} - 4287 \times 10^3$ osi ACI 318-14 (19.2.2.1.a) Carry-over factor COF = 0.54PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1) Fixed-end moment,  $FEM = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{NFi} \times w_i \times l_1^2$ PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1)





b. Flexural stiffness of column members at both ends,  $K_c$ .

Referring to Table A7, Appendix 20A, For the Bottom Column:  $t_a = 3/2 + 14 = 15.5$  in.,  $t_b = 3/2 = 1.5$  in.  $\frac{t_a}{t_b} = \frac{15.5}{1.5} = 10.33$  $H = 13 \text{ ft} = 156 \text{ in.}, H_c = (156 - 15.5 - 1.5)/12 = 11.58 \text{ ft}$  $\frac{H}{H_{\odot}} = \frac{13}{11.58} = 1.122$ Thus,  $k_{AB} = 6.18$  and  $C_{AB} = 0.50$  by interpolation.  $K_{c,bottom} = \frac{6.18E_{cc}I_c}{\ell}$ PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A7)  $K_{c,bottom} = 6.18 \times 4696 \times 10^3 \times \frac{13,333}{156} = 2479 \times 10^6$  in.-lb Where  $I_c = \frac{c^4}{12} = \frac{(20)^4}{12} = 13,333 \text{ in.}^4$  $E_{cc} = w_c^{1.5} 33 \sqrt{f_c'} = 150^{1.5} \times 33 \times \sqrt{6000} = 4696 \times 10^3 \text{ psi}$ ACI 318-14 (19.2.2.1.a)  $l_c = 13$  ft = 156 in. For the Top Column:  $\frac{t_b}{t_a} = \frac{1.5}{15.5} = 0.097$ 

 $13,333 - 1856 \times 10^6$  it

Thus,  $k_{BA} = 4.62$  and  $C_{BA} = 0.67$  by interpolation.

$$K_{c,top} = 4.62 \times 4696 \times 10^3 \times \frac{10,355}{156} = 1856 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

c. Torsional stiffness of torsional members,  $K_t$ .

 $\frac{H}{H_{\odot}} = \frac{13}{11.58} = 1.122$ 

 $K_c = \frac{4.62E_{cc}I_c}{\ell}$ 

$$K_{t} = \frac{9E_{cs}C}{\left[\ell_{2}\left(1 - \frac{c_{2}}{\ell_{2}}\right)^{3}\right]}$$
$$K_{t} = \frac{9 \times 4287 \times 10^{3} \times 15214}{33 \times 12 \times (1 - 20/(33 \times 12))^{3}} = 1732 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb}$$

ACI 318-14 (R.8.11.5)

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A7)



$$c_2 = 20$$
 in.,  $\ell_2 = 33$  ft = 396 in.

 $C = \left(1 - 0.63 \times \frac{17}{20}\right) \left(17^3 \times \frac{20}{3}\right) = 15214 \text{ in.}^4$ 

Where  $C = \sum \left(1 - 0.63 \frac{x}{y}\right) \left(\frac{x^3 y}{3}\right)$ 

d. Equivalent column stiffness K<sub>ec</sub>.

$$K_{ec} = \frac{\sum K_c \times \sum K_t}{\sum K_c + \sum K_t}$$
  

$$K_{ec} = \frac{(2479 + 1856)(2 \times 1732)}{(2479 + 1856) + (2 \times 1732)} \times 10^6$$
  

$$K_{ec} = 1925 \times 10^6 \text{ in.-lb}$$

Where  $\sum K_t$  is for two torsional members one on each side of the column, and  $\sum K_c$  is for the upper and lower columns at the slab-beam joint of an intermediate floor.



e. Slab-beam joint distribution factors, DF.

At exterior joint,

$$DF = \frac{3420}{(3420 + 1925)} = 0.640$$

At interior joint,

$$DF = \frac{3420}{(3420 + 3420 + 1925)} = 0.390$$

COF for slab-beam =0.576







#### 2.1.3. Equivalent frame analysis

Determine negative and positive moments for the slab-beams using the moment distribution method. Since the unfactored live load does not exceed three-quarters of the unfactored dead load, design moments are assumed to occur at all critical sections with full factored live on all spans. <u>ACI 318-14 (6.4.3.2)</u>

$$\frac{L}{D} = \frac{100}{(100+50)} = 0.67 < \frac{3}{4}$$

a. Factored load and Fixed-End Moments (FEM's).

#### For slab:

Factored dead load  $q_{Du} = 1.2(100 + 50) = 180 \text{ psf}$ Factored live load  $q_{Lu} = 1.6(100) = 160 \text{ psf}$ 

Factored load  $q_{\mu} = q_{D\mu} + q_{L\mu} = 340 \text{ psf}$ 

For drop panels:

Factored dead load  $q_{Du} = 1.2(150 \times 5/12) = 135 \text{ psf}$ 

Factored live load  $q_{Lu} = 1.6(0) = 0$  psf

Factored load  $q_u = q_{Du} + q_{Lu} = 135 \text{ psf}$ 

Fixed-end moment,  $FEM = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{NFi} \times w_i \times l_1^2$ 

#### PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1)

 $FEM = 0.0911 \times 0.340 \times 33 \times 33^{2} + 0.0171 \times 0.135 \times 12 \times 33^{2} + 0.0016 \times 0.135 \times 12 \times 33^{2}$ 

FEM =1146.5 ft-kips

b. Moment distribution. Computations are shown in Table 1. Counterclockwise rotational moments acting on the member ends are taken as positive. Positive span moments are determined from the following equation:





$$M_{u,midspan} = M_o - \frac{(M_{uL} + M_{uR})}{2}$$

Where  $M_o$  is the moment at the midspan for a simple beam.

When the end moments are not equal, the maximum moment in the span does not occur at the midspan, but its value is close to that midspan for this example.

Positive moment in span 1-2:

$$M_u = (0.340 \times 33) \frac{33^2}{8} + 2 \times \left[ \frac{(0.135 \times 12) \times 33/6}{2 \times 33} \times 33/6 \times (33 - 33/2) \right] - \frac{(462.3 + 1381.5)}{2}$$

 $M_u = 629.96 \, \text{ft-kips}$ 



Table 1 - Moment Distribution for Equivalent Frame								
		um		um	um			
(+	. 1	2		3	4			
Joint	1		2		3	4		
Member	1-2	2-1	2-3	3-2	3-4	4-3		
DF	0.640	0.390	0.390	0.390	0.390	0.640		
COF	0.576	0.576	0.576	0.576	0.576	0.576		
FEM	1146.51	-1146.5	1146.51	-1146.5	1146.51	-1146.5		
Dist	-733.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	733.6		
СО	0.0	-422.5	0.0	0.0	422.5	0.0		
Dist	0.0	164.8	164.8	-164.8	-164.8	0.0		
CO	94.9	0.0	-94.9	94.9	0.0	-94.9		
Dist	-60.7	37.0	37.0	-37.0	-37.0	60.7		
CO	21.3	-35.0	-21.3	21.3	35.0	-21.3		
Dist	-13.7	22.0	22.0	-22.0	-22.0	13.7		
CO	12.7	-7.9	-12.7	12.7	7.9	-12.7		
Dist	-8.1	8.0	8.0	-8.0	-8.0	8.1		
CO	4.6	-4.7	-4.6	4.6	4.7	-4.6		
Dist	-3.0	3.6	3.6	-3.6	-3.6	3.0		
CO	2.1	-1.7	-2.1	2.1	1.7	-2.1		
Dist	-1.3	1.5	1.5	-1.5	-1.5	1.3		
СО	0.9	-0.8	-0.9	0.9	0.8	-0.9		
Dist	-0.6	0.6	0.6	-0.6	-0.6	0.6		
СО	0.4	-0.3	-0.4	0.4	0.3	-0.4		
Dist	-0.2	0.3	0.3	-0.3	-0.3	0.2		
СО	0.2	-0.1	-0.2	0.2	0.1	-0.2		
Dist	-0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.1		
СО	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1		
Dist	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0		
СО	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Dist	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
M, k-ft	462.3	-1381.5	1247.5	-1247.5	1381.5	-462.3		
Midspan M, ft-kips 630.0		0.0	304	4.4	630	0.0		

#### 2.1.4. Factored moments used for Design

Positive and negative factored moments for the slab system in the direction of analysis are plotted in Figure 13. The negative moments used for design are taken at the faces of supports (rectangle section or equivalent rectangle for circular or polygon sections) but not at distances greater than 0.175  $l_1$  from the centers of supports. <u>ACI 318-14 (8.11.6.1)</u>

$$\frac{20 \text{ in.}}{12 \times 2} = 0.83 \text{ ft} < 0.175 \times 33 = 5.78 \text{ ft} \text{ (use face of supporting location)}$$







Figure 13 - Positive and Negative Design Moments for Slab-Beam (All Spans Loaded with Full Factored Live Load)

-304.4

49

x, ft

66.0

I

I

-630.0

82.5

\* Moment values at columns faces

99.0

33.0

T

-630.0

16.5

0.0

I



<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.5 and 6)</u>

ACI 318-14 (Eq. 8.10.3.2)

ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.7)

#### 2.1.5. Factored moments in slab-beam strip

a. Check whether the moments calculated above can take advantage of the reduction permitted by <u>ACI 318-</u> <u>14 (8.11.6.5)</u>:

If the slab system analyzed using EFM within the limitations of <u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2)</u>, it is permitted by the ACI code to reduce the calculated moments obtained from EFM in such proportion that the absolute sum of the positive and average negative design moments need not exceed the total static moment  $M_o$  given by <u>Equation 8.10.3.2</u> in the <u>ACI 318-14</u>.

#### **Check Applicability of Direct Design Method:**

1. There is a minimum of three continuous spans in each direction.	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.1)</u>
2. Successive span lengths are equal.	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.2)</u>
3. Long-to-Short ratio is $33/33 = 1.0 < 2.0$ .	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.3)</u>
4. Columns are not offset.	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.4)</u>

- 5. Loads are gravity and uniformly distributed with service live-to-dead ratio of 0.67 < 2.0 (Note: The self-weight of the drop panels is not uniformly distributed entirely along the span. However, the variation in load magnitude is small).
- 6. Check relative stiffness for slab panel.

Slab system is without beams and this requirement is not applicable.

$$M_o = \frac{q_u \ell_2 \ell_n^2}{8} = 0.340 \times 33 \times \frac{(33 - 20/12)^2}{8} = 1376.94 \text{ ft-kips}$$

End spans:  $630 + \frac{462.3 + 1381.5}{2} = 1552 \text{ ft-kips} > M_o$ 

Interior span:  $304 + \frac{1247.5 + 1247.5}{2} = 1552$  ft-kips  $> M_o$ 

To illustrate proper procedure, the interior span factored moments may be reduced as follows:

Permissible reduction = 1376.9/1552 = 0.887

Adjusted negative design moment =  $1247.5 \times 0.887 = 1106.5$  ft-kips

Adjusted positive design moment =  $304 \times 0.887 = 269.6$  ft-kips

$$M_o = 269.6 + \frac{1106.5 + 1106.5}{2} = 1376.9$$
 ft-kips

ACI 318 allows the reduction of the moment values based on the previous procedure. Since the drop panels may cause gravity loads not to be uniform (Check limitation #5 and Figure 13), the moment values obtained from EFM will be used for comparison reasons.



b. Distribute factored moments to column and middle strips:

After the negative and positive moments have been determined for the slab-beam strip, the ACI code permits the distribution of the moments at critical sections to the column strips, beams (if any), and middle strips in accordance with the DDM. <u>ACI 318-14 (8.11.6.6)</u>

Table 2 - Distribution of factored moments									
		Slab-beam Strip	Colun	nn Strip	Midd	e Strip			
		Moment (ft-kips)	Percent Momen (ft-kip		Percent	Moment (ft-kips)			
	Exterior Negative	335.1	100	335.1	0	0.0			
End Span	Positive	630.0	60	378.0	40	252.0			
	Interior Negative	1207.9	75	905.9	25	302.0			
Interior Span	Negative	1097.1	75	822.8	25	274.3			
interior Span	Positive	304.4	60	182.6	40	121.8			

Distribution of factored moments at critical sections is summarized in Table 2.

#### 2.1.6. Flexural reinforcement requirements

a. Determine flexural reinforcement required for strip moments

The flexural reinforcement calculation for the column strip of end span - interior negative location:

 $M_{u} = 905.9$  ft-kips

Use d = 15.88 in. (slab with drop panel where h = 17 in.)

To determine the area of steel, assumptions have to be made whether the section is tension or compression controlled, and regarding the distance between the resultant compression and tension forces along the slab section (*jd*). In this example, tension-controlled section will be assumed so the reduction factor  $\varphi$  is equal to 0.9, and *jd* will be taken equal to 0.95*d*. The assumptions will be verified once the area of steel in finalized. Assume *jd* = 0.95×*d* = 15.09 in.

Column strip width,  $b = (33 \times 12)/2 = 198$  in.

Middle strip width,  $b = 33 \times 12 - 198 = 198$  in.

$$A_s = \frac{M_u}{\varphi f_v jd} = \frac{905.9 \times 12000}{0.9 \times 60000 \times 15.09} = 12.68 \text{ in.}^2$$

Recalculate 'a' for the actual  $A_s = 12.68 \text{ in.}^2 \rightarrow a = \frac{A_s f_y}{0.85 f'_c b} = \frac{12.68 \times 60000}{0.85 \times 5000 \times 198} = 0.904 \text{ in.}$ 

$$c = \frac{a}{\beta_1} = \frac{0.904}{0.85} = 1.064 \text{ in.}$$
$$\varepsilon_t = \left(\frac{0.003}{c}\right) d_t - 0.003 = \left(\frac{0.003}{1.064}\right) \times 15.88 - 0.003 = 0.042 > 0.005$$

Therefore, the assumption that section is tension-controlled is valid.



Two values of thickness must be considered. The slab thickness in the column strip is 17 in. with the drop panel and 8 in. for the equivalent slab without the drop panel based on the system weight.

The weighted slab thickness, 
$$h_w = \frac{17 \times (12) + 8 \times (33/2 - 12)}{(12) + (33/2 - 12)} = 14.55$$
 in.

$$A_{s,\min} = 0.0018 \times b \times h_{w}$$

 $A_{s,min} = 0.0018 \times 198 \times 14.55 = 5.184 \text{ in.}^2 < 13.05 \text{ in.}^2$ 

$$s_{\text{max}} = \text{lesser of} \begin{bmatrix} 5h \\ 18 \text{ in.} \end{bmatrix} = \text{lesser of} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \times 3 = 15 \text{ in.} \\ 18 \text{ in.} \end{bmatrix} = 15 \text{ in.}$$
 ACI 318-14 (24.4.3.3)

Provide 30 - #6 bars with  $A_s = 13.20$  in.<sup>2</sup> and s = 198/30 = 6.6 in.  $\le s_{max}$ 

The flexural reinforcement calculation for the column strip of interior span - positive location:

#### $M_{u} = 182.6 \, \text{ft-kips}$

Use d = 15.88 in. (slab with rib where h = 17 in.)

To determine the area of steel, assumptions have to be made whether the section is tension or compression controlled, and regarding the distance between the resultant compression and tension forces along the slab section (*jd*). In this example, tension-controlled section will be assumed so the reduction factor  $\varphi$  is equal to 0.9, and *jd* will be taken equal to 0.95*d*. The assumptions will be verified once the area of steel in finalized. Assume  $jd = 0.95 \times d = 15.09$  in.

Column strip width,  $b = (33 \times 12)/2 = 198$  in.

Middle strip width,  $b = 33 \times 12 - 198 = 198$  in.

$$A_s = \frac{M_u}{\varphi f_y jd} = \frac{182.6 \times 12000}{0.9 \times 60000 \times 15.09} = 2.69 \text{ in.}^2$$

Recalculate 'a' for the actual  $A_s = 2.69 \text{ in.}^2 \rightarrow a = \frac{A_s f_y}{0.85 f'_c b} = \frac{2.69 \times 60000}{0.85 \times 5000 \times 198} = 0.192 \text{ in.}$ 

$$c = \frac{a}{\beta_1} = \frac{0.192}{0.85} = 0.226 \text{ in.}$$
  
$$\varepsilon_t = \left(\frac{0.003}{c}\right) d_t - 0.003 = \left(\frac{0.003}{0.226}\right) \times 15.88 - 0.003 = 0.208 > 0.005$$

Therefore, the assumption that section is tension-controlled is valid.

$$A_{s} = \frac{M_{u}}{\varphi f_{y}(d-a/2)} = \frac{182.6 \times 12000}{0.9 \times 60000 \times (15.88 - 0.192/2)} = 2.57 \text{ in.}^{2}$$

$$A_{s,\min} = 0.0018 \times b \times h_{eq}$$
ACI 318-14 (24.4.3.2)

ACI 318-14 (24.4.3.2)



 $A_{x,min} = 0.0018 \times 198 \times 8 = 2.851 \text{ in.}^2 > 2.57 \text{ in.}^2$ 

 $\therefore$  use  $A_s = A_{s,\min} = 2.851$  in.<sup>2</sup>

Since column strip has 5 ribs  $\rightarrow$  provide 10 - #6 bars (2 bars/ rib):

 $A_{s, provided} = 10 \times 0.44 = 4.4 \text{ in.}^2 > A_{s, required} = 2.851 \text{ in.}^2$ 

Based on the procedures outlined above, values for all span locations are given in Table 3.

Table 3 - Required Slab Reinforcement for Flexure [Equivalent Frame Method (EFM)]												
Spa	Span Location $M_u$ bd $A_s$ Req'd forMin $A_s$ Reinforcement $A_s$ Prov. for(ft-kips)(in.)(in.)flexure (in.²)(in.²)Providedflexure (in.²)											
End Span												
	Exterior Negative	335.1	198	15.88	4.74	5.18	14-#6 * **	6.16				
Column Strip	Positive (5 ribs)	378.0	198	15.81	5.38	2.85	10-#7 (2 bars / rib)	6.00				
	Interior Negative	905.9	198	15.88	13.05	5.18	30-#6	13.20				
	Exterior Negative	0.0	198	15.88	0.0	5.18	14-#6 * **	6.16				
Middle Strip	Positive (6 ribs)	252.0	198	15.88	3.56	2.85	12-#6 (2 bars / rib)	5.28				
	Interior Negative	302.0	198	15.88	4.27	5.18	14-#6 * **	6.16				
				Inter	ior Span							
Column Strip	Positive (5 ribs)	182.6	198	15.88	2.57	2.85	10-#6 * (2 bars / rib)	4.40				
Middle Strip	Positive (6 ribs)	121.8	198	15.88	1.71	2.85	12-#6 * (2 bars / rib)	5.28				
* Design	governed by minimur	n reinforceme maximum all	ent. lowable	spacing								

b. Calculate additional slab reinforcement at columns for moment transfer between slab and column by

flexure

The factored slab moment resisted by the column  $(\gamma_f M_{sc})$  shall be assumed to be transferred by flexure.Concentration of reinforcement over the column by closer spacing or additional reinforcement shall be usedto resist this moment. The fraction of slab moment not calculated to be resisted by flexure shall be assumedto be resisted by eccentricity of shear.<u>ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3)</u>Portion of the unbalanced moment transferred by flexure is  $\gamma_f M_{sc}$ <u>ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.1)</u>

Where

$$\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + (2/3) \times \sqrt{b_1/b_2}}$$
ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.2)

- $b_1$  = Dimension of the critical section  $b_o$  measured in the direction of the span for which moments are determined in ACI 318, Chapter 8 (see Figure 14).
- $b_2$  = Dimension of the critical section  $b_o$  measured in the direction perpendicular to  $b_1$  in ACI 318, Chapter 8 (see Figure 14).

$$b_b =$$
 Effective slab width  $= c_2 + 3 \times h$ 

<u>ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.3)</u>





Critical shear perimeter for interior column

Critical shear perimeter for exterior column



Critical shear perimeter for corner column

Figure 14 - Critical Shear Perimeters for Columns

For exterior support:

$$d = h \cdot \operatorname{cover} - \frac{d_b}{2} = 17 - 0.75 - \frac{0.75}{2} = 15.88 \text{ in.}$$
  

$$b_1 = c_1 + \frac{d}{2} = 20 + \frac{15.88}{2} = 27.94 \text{ in.}$$
  

$$b_2 = c_2 + d = 20 + 15.88 = 35.88 \text{ in.}$$
  

$$b_b = 20 + 3 \times 17 = 71 \text{ in.}$$
  

$$\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + (2/3) \times \sqrt{27.94/35.88}} = 0.630$$
  

$$\gamma_f M_{sc} = 0.630 \times 462.3 = 291.1 \text{ ft-kips}$$

Using the same procedure in 2.1.6.a, the required area of steel:

 $A_{\rm s} = 4.18 \text{ in.}^2$ 

However, the area of steel provided to resist the flexural moment within the effective slab width  $b_b$ :

$$A_{s, provided} = 6.16 \times \frac{71}{198} = 2.209 \text{ in.}^2$$



Then, the required additional reinforcement at exterior column for moment transfer between slab and column:

$$A_{s,additional} = 4.18 - 2.209 = 1.971 \text{ in.}^2$$

Provide 5 - #6 additional bars with  $A_s = 2.20$  in.<sup>2</sup>

Based on the procedure outlined above, values for all supports are given in Table 4.

Table 4 - Additional Slab Reinforcement required for moment transfer between slab and column (EFM)										
							Add'l Reinf.			
End Span										
Colours Stain	Exterior Negative	462.3	0.630	291	71	15.88	4.184	2.209	5-#6	
Column Strip	Interior Negative	133.4	0.600	80.4	71	15.88	2.029	4.733	-	
*M <sub>sc</sub> is taken at	the centerline of the s	upport in Eq	uivalent	Frame Metho	od solution.					

#### 2.1.7. Factored moments in columns

The unbalanced moment from the slab-beams at the supports of the equivalent frame are distributed to the support columns above and below the slab-beam in proportion to the relative stiffness of the support columns. Referring to Figure 13, the unbalanced moment at the exterior and interior joints are:

Exterior Joint = +462.3 ft-kips

Joint 2= -1381.5 + 1247.5 = -134 ft-kips

The stiffness and carry-over factors of the actual columns and the distribution of the unbalanced slab moments  $(M_{sc})$  to the exterior and interior columns are shown in Figure 14.







Figure 15 - Column Moments (Unbalanced Moments from Slab-Beam)

In summary:

For Top column:	For Bottom column:
$M_{col,Exterior}$ = 194.75 ft-kips	$M_{col,Exterior}$ = 224.97 ft-kips
$M_{col,Interior} = 56.45$ ft-kips	$M_{col,Interior} = 65.21$ ft-kips

The moments determined above are combined with the factored axial loads (for each story) and factored moments in the transverse direction for design of column sections. The moment values at the face of interior, exterior, and corner columns from the unbalanced moment values are shown in the following table.

Table 5 – Factored Moments in Columns								
Mu		Column Location						
kips-ft	Interior	Exterior	Corner					
M <sub>ux</sub>	65.21	224.97	224.97					
Muy	65.21	65.21	224.97					



#### 3. Design of Columns by spColumn

This section includes the design of interior, edge, and corner columns using <u>spColumn</u> software. The preliminary dimensions for these columns were calculated previously in section one. The reduction of live load per <u>ASCE</u> <u>7-10</u> will be ignored in this example. However, the detailed procedure to calculate the reduced live loads is explained in the "wide-Module Joist System" example.

#### 3.1. Determination of factored loads

#### Interior Column:

Assume 4 story building

Tributary area for interior column for live load, superimposed dead load, and self-weight of the slab is

 $A_{Tributary} = 33 \times 33 = 1089 \text{ ft}^2$ 

Tributary area for interior column for self-weight of additional slab thickness due to the presence of the drop panel is

 $A_{Tributary} = 12 \times 12 = 144 \text{ ft}^2$ 

Assuming five story building

 $P_u = n \times q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 4 \times (0.340 \times 1089 + 0.135 \times 144) = 1559$  kips

 $M_{u,x} = 65.21$  ft-kips (see the previous Table)

 $M_{u,y} = 65.21$  ft-kips (see the previous Table)

#### Edge (Exterior) Column:

Tributary area for exterior column for live load, superimposed dead load, and self-weight of the slab is

$$A_{Tributary} = \left(\frac{33}{2} + \frac{20/2}{12}\right) \times 33 = 572 \text{ ft}^2$$

Tributary area for exterior column for self-weight of additional slab thickness due to the presence of the drop panel is

$$A_{Tributary} = \left(\frac{12}{2} + \frac{20/2}{12}\right) \times 12 = 82 \text{ ft}^2$$
$$P_u = n \times q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 4 \times (0.340 \times 572 + 0.135 \times 82) = 822.2 \text{ kips}$$

 $M_{u,x} = 224.97$  ft-kips (see the previous Table)

 $M_{u,y} = 65.21$  ft-kips (see the previous Table)

#### Corner Column:

Tributary area for corner column for live load, superimposed dead load, and self-weight of the slab is

Structure Point



$$A_{Tributary} = \left(\frac{33}{2} + \frac{20/2}{12}\right) \times \left(\frac{33}{2} + \frac{20/2}{12}\right) = 300.4 \text{ ft}^2$$

Tributary area for corner column for self-weight of additional slab thickness due to the presence of the drop panel is

$$A_{Tributary} = \left(\frac{12}{2} + \frac{20/2}{12}\right) \times \left(\frac{12}{2} + \frac{20/2}{12}\right) = 46.7 \text{ ft}^2$$
$$P_u = n \times q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 4 \times (0.340 \times 300.4 + 0.135 \times 46.7) = 433.8 \text{ kips}$$

 $M_{u,x} = 224.97$  ft-kips (see the previous Table)

 $M_{u,y} = 224.97$  ft-kips (see the previous Table)

Structure Point



### **3.2. Moment Interaction Diagram**

## Interior Column:













Edge Column:







### Corner Column:


#### 4. **Shear Strength**

Shear strength of the slab in the vicinity of columns/supports includes an evaluation of one-way shear (beam action) and two-way shear (punching) in accordance with ACI 318 Chapter 22.

## 4.1. One-Way (Beam action) Shear Strength

One-way shear is critical at a distance d from the face of the column as shown in Figure 3. Figures 17 and 19 show the factored shear forces  $(V_u)$  at the critical sections around each column and each drop panel, respectively. In members without shear reinforcement, the design shear capacity of the section equals to the design shear capacity of the concrete:

$$\varphi V_n = \varphi V_c + \varphi V_s = \varphi V_c$$
,  $(\varphi V_s = 0)$  ACI 318-14 (Eq. 22.5.1.1)

Where:

$$b_v = b + \frac{d}{12}$$
 spSlab Software Manual (Eq. 2-13)

Where:

b = rib width, in.

d = distance from extreme compression fiber to tension reinforcement centroid.

## 4.1.1. At distance *d* from the supporting column

d = 17 - 0.75 - 0.75 / 2 = 15.88 in. for middle span with #6 reinforcement.

$$b_v = 6 + \frac{15.88}{12} = 7.32$$
 in.

 $\lambda = 1$  for normal weight concrete

 $b = L_{2,drop} + n_{ribs} \times b_v = 12 \times 12 + 7 \times 7.32 = 195.26$  in. (See Figure 16).



ACI 318-14 (22.5)

 $\varphi V_c = \varphi 2\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d$ 







Figure 16 – Frame strip cross section (at distance d from the face of the supporting column)

The one-way shear capacity for the ribbed slab portions shown in Figure 16 is permitted to be increased by 10%. ACI 318-14 (9.8.1.5)

$$\varphi V_c = (\varphi V_c)_{\text{Solid Slab}} + 1.10 \ (\varphi V_c)_{\text{Ribbed Slab}}$$
$$\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 2 \times 1.0 \times \frac{\sqrt{5000}}{1000} \times (12 \times 12) \times 15.88 + 1.10 \times 0.75 \times 2 \times 1.0 \times \frac{\sqrt{5000}}{1000} \times (7 \times 7.32) \times 15.88 = 337.41 \text{ kips}$$

Because  $\phi V_c \ge V_u$  at all the critical sections, the slab has adequate one-way shear strength.



## 4.1.2. At the face of the drop panel

d = 17 - 0.75 - 0.75 / 2 = 15.88 in. for middle span with #6 reinforcement.



$$b_v = 6 + \frac{15.88}{12} = 7.32$$
 in.

 $\lambda = 1$  for normal weight concrete

 $b = n_{ribs} \times b_v = 11 \times 7.32 = 80.55$  in. (See Figure 17).



Figure 18 – Frame strip cross section (at distance d from the face of the supporting column)

The one-way shear capacity for the ribbed slab portions shown in Figure 15 is permitted to be increased by 10%. **ACI 318-14 (9.8.1.5)** 

$$\varphi V_c = 1.10 \ \left(\varphi V_c\right)_{\text{Ribbed Slab}} \ \varphi V_c = 1.10 \times 0.75 \times 2 \times 1.0 \times \frac{\sqrt{5000}}{1000} \times (11 \times 7.32) \times 15.88 = 149.20 \text{ kips}$$

Because  $\phi V_c \ge V_u$  at all the critical sections, the slab has adequate one-way shear strength.



Figure 19 - One-way shear at critical sections (at the face of the drop panel)





## 4.2.1. Around the columns faces

Two-way shear is critical on a rectangular section located at d/2 away from the face of the column as shown in Figure 14.

## a. Exterior column:

The factored shear force  $(V_u)$  in the critical section is computed as the reaction at the centroid of the critical section minus the self-weight and any superimposed surface dead and live load acting within the critical section (d/2 away from column face).

$$V_u = V - q_u (b_1 \times b_2) = 157.28 - 0.475 \left(\frac{27.94 \times 35.88}{144}\right) = 153.97$$
 kips

The factored unbalanced moment used for shear transfer,  $M_{unb}$ , is computed as the sum of the joint moments to the left and right. Moment of the vertical reaction with respect to the centroid of the critical section is also taken into account.

$$M_{unb} = M - V_u \left( b_1 - c_{AB} - c_1 / 2 \right) = 462.3 - 153.97 \left( \frac{27.94 - 8.51 - 20 / 2}{12} \right) = 341.27 \text{ ft-kips}$$

For the exterior column in Figure 13, the location of the centroidal axis z-z is:

$$c_{AB} = \frac{moment \ of \ area \ of \ the \ sides \ about \ AB}{area \ of \ the \ sides} = \frac{2(27.94 \times 15.88 \times 27.94 / 2)}{2 \times 27.94 \times 15.88 + 35.88 \times 15.88} = 8.51 \text{ in}.$$

The polar moment  $J_c$  of the shear perimeter is:

$$J_{c} = 2\left(\frac{b_{1}d^{3}}{12} + \frac{db_{1}^{3}}{12} + (b_{1}d)\left(\frac{b_{1}}{2} - c_{AB}\right)^{2}\right) + b_{2}dc_{AB}^{2}$$
$$J_{c} = 2\left(\frac{27.94 \times 15.88^{3}}{12} + \frac{15.88 \times 27.94^{3}}{12} + (27.94 \times 15.88)\left(\frac{27.94}{2} - 8.51\right)^{2}\right) + 35.88 \times 15.88 \times 8.51^{2}$$

 $J_c = 144,092 \text{ in.}^4$ 

$$\gamma_{y} = 1 - \gamma_{f} = 1 - 0.630 = 0.370$$
 ACI 318-14 (Eq. 8.4.4.2.2)

The length of the critical perimeter for the exterior column:

$$b_o = 2 \times 27.94 + 35.88 = 91.76$$
 in.

The two-way shear stress  $(v_u)$  can then be calculated as:



$$\begin{aligned} v_u &= \frac{V_u}{b_o \times d} + \frac{\gamma_v M_{unb} c_{AB}}{J_c} & \underline{ACI 318-14 \ (R.8.4.4.2.3)} \\ v_u &= \frac{153.97 \times 1000}{91.76 \times 15.88} + \frac{0.370 \times (341.27 \times 12 \times 1000) \times 8.51}{144,092} = 195.20 \text{ psi} \\ v_c &= min \bigg[ 4\lambda \sqrt{f_c} \ , \bigg( 2 + \frac{4}{\beta} \bigg) \lambda \sqrt{f_c} \ , \bigg( \frac{a_s d}{b_o} + 2 \bigg) \lambda \sqrt{f_c} \bigg] & \underline{ACI 318-14 \ (Table 22.6.5.2)} \\ v_c &= min \bigg[ 4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \ , \bigg( 2 + \frac{4}{1} \bigg) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \ , \bigg( \frac{30 \times 15.88}{91.76} + 2 \bigg) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \bigg] \\ v_c &= min \bigg[ 282.8 \ , 424.3 \ , 508.5 \bigg] \text{ psi} = 282.8 \text{ psi} \\ \varphi v_c &= 0.75 \times 282.8 = 212.1 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi v_c \ge v_u$  at the critical section, the slab has adequate two-way shear strength at this joint.

#### **b.** Interior column:

$$V_u = V - q_u (b_1 \times b_2) = 212.98 + 185.1 - 0.475 \left(\frac{35.88 \times 35.88}{144}\right) = 393.83 \text{ kips}$$
$$M_{unb} = M - V_u (b_1 - c_{AB} - c_1 / 2) = 1381.5 - 1247.5 - 393.83 (0) = 134.00 \text{ ft-kips}$$

For the interior column in Figure 13, the location of the centroidal axis z-z is:

$$c_{AB} = \frac{b_1}{2} = \frac{35.88}{2} = 17.94$$
 in.

The polar moment  $J_c$  of the shear perimeter is:

$$J_{c} = 2\left(\frac{b_{1}d^{3}}{12} + \frac{db_{1}^{3}}{12} + (b_{1}d)\left(\frac{b_{1}}{2} - c_{AB}\right)^{2}\right) + 2b_{2}dc_{AB}^{2}$$

$$J_{c} = 2\left(\frac{35.88 \times 35.88^{3}}{12} + \frac{15.88 \times 35.88^{3}}{12} + (35.88 \times 15.88)\left(\frac{35.88}{2} - 17.94\right)^{2}\right) + 2 \times 35.88 \times 15.88 \times 17.94^{2}$$

$$J_{c} = 512,956 \text{ in.}^{4}$$

The length of the critical perimeter for the interior column:

$$b_o = 2 \times (35.88 + 35.88) = 143.52$$
 in.

 $\gamma_v = 1 - \gamma_f = 1 - 0.600 = 0.400$ 

ACI 318-14 (Eq. 8.4.4.2.2)



The two-way shear stress  $(v_u)$  can then be calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{u} &= \frac{V_{u}}{b_{o} \times d} + \frac{\gamma_{v} M_{umb} c_{AB}}{J_{c}} & \underline{ACI 318-14 \ (R.8.4.4.2.3)} \\ v_{u} &= \frac{393.83 \times 1000}{143.52 \times 15.88} + \frac{0.400 \times (134 \times 12 \times 1000) \times 17.94}{512,956} = 195.3 \text{ psi} \\ v_{c} &= min \left[ 4\lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, \left( 2 + \frac{4}{\beta} \right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, \left( \frac{a_{s}d}{b_{o}} + 2 \right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}} \right] & \underline{ACI 318-14 \ (Table 22.6.5.2)} \\ v_{c} &= min \left[ 4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000}, \left( 2 + \frac{4}{1} \right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000}, \left( \frac{40 \times 15.88}{143.52} + 2 \right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \right] \\ v_{c} &= min \left[ 282.8, 424.3, 454.4 \right] \text{ psi} = 282.8 \text{ psi} \\ \varphi v_{c} &= 0.75 \times 282.8 = 212.1 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi v_c \ge v_u$  at the critical section, the slab has adequate two-way shear strength at this joint.

#### c. Corner column:

In this example, interior equivalent frame strip was selected where it only have exterior and interior supports (no corner supports are included in this strip). However, the two-way shear strength of corner supports usually governs. Thus, the two-way shear strength for the corner column in this example will be checked for illustration purposes. The analysis procedure must be repeated for the exterior equivalent frame strip to find the reaction and factored unbalanced moment used for shear transfer at the centroid of the critical section for the corner support.

$$V_{u} = V - q_{u} (b_{1} \times b_{2}) = 94.32 - 0.475 \left(\frac{27.94 \times 27.94}{144}\right) = 92.10 \text{ kips}$$
$$M_{unb} = M - V_{u} (b_{1} - c_{AB} - c_{1} / 2) = 265.55 - 92.10 \left(\frac{27.94 - 6.99 - 20 / 2}{12}\right) = 181.47 \text{ ft-kips}$$

For the interior column in Figure 13, the location of the centroidal axis z-z is:

$$c_{AB} = \frac{moment of area of the sides about AB}{area of the sides} = \frac{(27.94 \times 15.88 \times 27.94/2)}{27.94 \times 15.88 + 27.94 \times 15.88} = 6.99 \text{ in.}$$

The polar moment  $J_c$  of the shear perimeter is:

$$J_{c} = \left(\frac{b_{1}d^{3}}{12} + \frac{db_{1}^{3}}{12} + (b_{1}d)\left(\frac{b_{1}}{2} - c_{AB}\right)^{2}\right) + b_{2}dc_{AB}^{2}$$



$$J_{c} = \left(\frac{27.94 \times 15.88^{3}}{12} + \frac{13.13 \times 27.94^{3}}{12} + (27.94 \times 15.88) \left(\frac{27.94}{2} - 6.99\right)^{2}\right) + 27.94 \times 15.88 \times 6.99^{2}$$

 $J_c = 81,483 \text{ in.}^4$ 

$$\gamma_v = 1 - \gamma_f = 1 - 0.600 = 0.400$$
 ACI 318-14 (Eq. 8.4.4.2.2)

The length of the critical perimeter for the corner column:

 $b_o = 27.94 + 27.94 = 55.88$  in.

The two-way shear stress  $(v_u)$  can then be calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} v_u &= \frac{V_u}{b_o \times d} + \frac{\gamma_v M_{uub} c_{AB}}{J_c} & \underline{ACI 318-14 \ (R.8.4.4.2.3)} \\ v_u &= \frac{92.10 \times 1000}{55.88 \times 15.88} + \frac{0.400 \times (181.47 \times 12 \times 1000) \times 6.99}{81,483} = 178.5 \text{ psi} \\ v_c &= min \bigg[ 4\lambda \sqrt{f_c} \ , \bigg( 2 + \frac{4}{\beta} \bigg) \lambda \sqrt{f_c} \ , \bigg( \frac{\alpha_s d}{b_o} + 2 \bigg) \lambda \sqrt{f_c} \bigg] & \underline{ACI 318-14 \ (Table 22.6.5.2)} \\ v_c &= min \bigg[ 4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \ , \bigg( 2 + \frac{4}{1} \bigg) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \ , \bigg( \frac{20 \times 15.88}{55.88} + 2 \bigg) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \bigg] \\ v_c &= min \bigg[ 282.8 \ , 424.3 \ , 543.3 \bigg] \text{ psi} = 282.8 \text{ psi} \\ \varphi v_c &= 0.75 \times 282.8 = 212.1 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi v_c \ge v_u$  at the critical section, the slab has adequate two-way shear strength at this joint.

#### 4.2.2. Around drop panels

Two-way shear is critical on a rectangular section located at d/2 away from the face of the drop panel.

The factored shear force  $(V_u)$  in the critical section is computed as the reaction at the centroid of the critical section minus the self-weight and any superimposed surface dead and live load acting within the critical section (d/2 away from column face).

Note: For simplicity, it is conservative to deduct only the self-weight of the slab and joists in the critical section from the shear reaction in punching shear calculations. This approach is also adopted in the spSlab program for the punching shear check around the drop panels.

### a. Exterior drop panel:



$$V_u = V - q_u A = 157.28 - 0.340 \left(\frac{89.94 \times 159.88}{144}\right) = 123.33 \text{ kips}$$

*d* that is used in the calculation of  $v_u$  is given by (see Figure 20):



Figure 20 - Equivalent thickness based on shear area calculation

The length of the critical perimeter for the exterior drop panel:

 $b_o = 2 \times 89.94 + 159.88 = 339.76$  in.

The two-way shear stress  $(v_u)$  can then be calculated as:

$$v_u = \frac{V_u}{b_o \times d}$$

$$v_u = \frac{123.33 \times 1000}{339.76 \times 3.32} = 109.3 \text{ psi}$$
The two-way shear capacity for the ribbed slab is permitted to be increased by 10%. ACI 318-14 (9.8.1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} v_c &= \min \left[ 1.10 \times 4\lambda \sqrt{f_c}, 1.10 \times \left(2 + \frac{4}{\beta}\right) \lambda \sqrt{f_c}, 1.10 \times \left(\frac{a_s d}{b_o} + 2\right) \lambda \sqrt{f_c} \right] & \underline{ACI \ 318-14 \ (Table \ 22.6.5.2)} \\ v_c &= \min \left[ 1.10 \times 4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000}, 1.10 \times \left(2 + \frac{4}{1}\right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000}, 1.10 \times \left(\frac{30 \times 3.32}{339.76} + 2\right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \right] \\ v_c &= \min \left[ 311.1, 424.3, 162.2 \right] \text{ psi} = 162.2 \text{ psi} \\ \varphi v_c &= 0.75 \times 162.2 = 121.6 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$



In waffle slab design where the drop panels create a large critical shear perimeter, the factor  $(b_0/d)$  has limited contribution and is traditionally neglected for simplicity and conservatism. This approach is adopted in this calculation and in the spSlab program (spSlab software manual, Eq. 2-46).

The two-way shear capacity for the ribbed slab is permitted to be increased by 10%. ACI 318-14 (9.8.1.5)

$$v_c = 1.10 \times 2 \times \lambda \times \sqrt{f_c}$$
  
 $v_c = 1.10 \times 2 \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} = 155.6 \text{ psi}$ 

 $\varphi v_c = 0.75 \times 155.6 = 116.7$  psi

Since  $\varphi v_c > v_u$  at the critical section, the slab have adequate two-way shear strength around this drop panel.

## **b. Interior drop panel:**

$$V_u = V - q_u A$$

$$V_u = 212.98 + 185.10 - 0.340 \left(\frac{159.88 \times 159.88}{144}\right) = 337.73 \text{ kips}$$

The length of the critical perimeter for the interior drop panel:

$$b_o = 2 \times (159.88 + 159.88) = 639.52$$
 in.

The two-way shear stress  $(v_u)$  can then be calculated as:

$$v_u = \frac{V_u}{b_o \times d}$$

$$v_u = \frac{313.76 \times 1000}{639.52 \times 3.32} = 159.1 \text{ psi}$$
The two-way shear capacity for the ribbed slab is permitted to be increased by 10%. ACI 318-14 (9.8.1.5)

$$\begin{split} v_{c} &= \min \left[ 1.10 \times 4\lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, 1.10 \times \left(2 + \frac{4}{\beta}\right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, 1.10 \times \left(\frac{a_{s}d}{b_{o}} + 2\right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}} \right] \\ & \underline{ACI 318-14 \ (Table 22.6.5.2)} \\ v_{c} &= \min \left[ 1.10 \times 4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000}, 1.10 \times \left(2 + \frac{4}{1}\right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000}, 1.10 \times \left(\frac{40 \times 3.32}{639.52} + 2\right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \right] \\ v_{c} &= \min [311.1, 424.3, 156.1] \text{ psi} = 156.1 \text{ psi} \\ \varphi v_{c} &= 0.75 \times 156.1 = 117.1 \text{ psi} \\ v_{c} &= 1.10 \times 2 \times \lambda \times \sqrt{f_{c}} \\ \end{split}$$



 $v_c = 1.10 \times 2 \times I \times \sqrt{5000} = 155.6 \text{ psi}$ 

 $\varphi v_c = 0.75 \times 155.6 = 116.7 \text{ psi}$ 

Since  $\varphi v_c < v_u$  at the critical section, the slab does not have adequate two-way shear strength around this drop panel.

## c. Corner drop panel:

$$V_u = V - q_u A$$
$$V_u = 94.32 - 0.340 \left(\frac{89.94 \times 89.94}{144}\right) = 75.22 \text{ kips}$$

The length of the critical perimeter for the corner drop panel:

$$b_o = 89.94 + 89.94 = 179.88$$
 in.

The two-way shear stress  $(v_u)$  can then be calculated as:

$$v_u = \frac{V_u}{b_o \times d}$$

$$v_u = \frac{75.22 \times 1000}{179.88 \times 3.32} = 126.0 \text{ psi}$$

The two-way shear capacity for the ribbed slab is permitted to be increased by 10%. ACI 318-14 (9.8.1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} v_{c} &= min \bigg[ 1.10 \times 4\lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, 1.10 \times \bigg(2 + \frac{4}{\beta}\bigg) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, 1.10 \times \bigg(\frac{\alpha_{s}d}{b_{o}} + 2\bigg) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}} \bigg] & \underline{ACI 318-14 \ (Table 22.6.5.2)} \\ v_{c} &= min \bigg[ 1.10 \times 4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000}, 1.10 \times \bigg(2 + \frac{4}{1}\bigg) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000}, 1.10 \times \bigg(\frac{20 \times 3.32}{179.88} + 2\bigg) \times 1 \times \sqrt{5000} \bigg] \\ v_{c} &= min \big[ 311.1, 424.3, 167.5 \big] \text{ psi} = 167.5 \text{ psi} \\ \varphi v_{c} &= 0.75 \times 167.5 = 125.6 \text{ psi} \\ v_{c} &= 1.10 \times 2 \times \lambda \times \sqrt{f_{c}} & \underline{spSlab \ Software \ Manual \ (Eq. 2-46)} \\ v_{c} &= 1.10 \times 2 \times I \times \sqrt{5000} = 155.6 \text{ psi} \\ \varphi v_{c} &= 0.75 \times 155.6 = 116.7 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi v_c < v_u$  at the critical section, the slab does not have adequate two-way shear strength around this drop panel.

To mitigate the deficiency in two-way shear capacity an evaluation of possible options is required:



- 1. Increase the thickness of the slab system
- 2. Increasing the dimensions of the drop panels (length and/or width)
- 3. Increasing the concrete strength
- 4. Reduction of the applied loads
- 5. Reduction of the panel spans
- 6. Using less conservative punching shear allowable (gain of 5-10%)
- 7. Refine the deduction of drop panel weight from the shear reaction (gain of 2-5%)

This example will be continued without the required modification discussed above to continue the illustration of the analysis and design procedure.



#### 5. Serviceability Requirements (Deflection Check)

Since the slab thickness was selected to meet the minimum slab thickness tables in ACI 318-14, the deflection calculations of immediate and time-dependent deflections are not required. They are shown below for illustration purposes and comparison with spSlab software results.

#### 5.1. Immediate (Instantaneous) Deflections

The calculation of deflections for two-way slabs is challenging even if linear elastic behavior can be assumed. Elastic analysis for three service load levels  $(D, D + L_{sustained}, D + L_{Full})$  is used to obtain immediate deflections of the two-way slab in this example. However, other procedures may be used if they result in predictions of deflection in reasonable agreement with the results of comprehensive tests. The effective moment of inertia  $(I_e)$  is used to account for the cracking effect on the flexural stiffness of the slab.  $I_e$  for uncracked section  $(M_{cr} > M_a)$  is equal to  $I_g$ . When the section is cracked  $(M_{cr} < M_a)$ , then the following equation should be used:

$$I_e = \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a}\right)^3 I_g + \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a}\right)^3\right] I_{cr} \le I_g \qquad \underline{ACI 318-14 (Eq. 24.2.3.5a)}$$

Where:

 $M_a$  = Maximum moment in member due to service loads at stage deflection is calculated.

The values of the maximum moments for the three service load levels are calculated from structural analysis as shown previously in this document. These moments are shown in Figure 17.









Figure 21 – Maximum Moments for the Three Service Load Levels

For positive moment (midspan) section:

 $M_{cr}$  = Cracking moment.

$$M_{cr} = \frac{f_{r_g}I}{y_t} = \frac{530.33 \times 60,255}{11.41} \times \frac{1}{12 \times 1000} = 233.46 \text{ ft-kips}$$

ACI 318-14 (Eq. 24.2.3.5b)

 $f_r$  = Modulus of rapture of concrete.

$$f_r = 7.5\lambda \sqrt{f_c} = 7.5 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{5000} = 530.33 \text{ psi}$$
 ACI 318-14 (Eq. 19.2.3.1)

 $I_g$  = Moment of inertia of the gross uncracked concrete section. See Figure 22.

••



 $I_g = I_{g/rib} \times \# of ribs = 5478 \times 11 = 60,255 \text{ in.}^4$ 

 $y_t$  = Distance from centroidal axis of gross section, neglecting reinforcement, to tension face, in.

$$y_t = h_{rib} - y_{bar} = 17 - 5.59 = 11.41$$
 in.



Figure 22 - Equivalent gross section for one rib - positive moment section

 $I_{cr}$  = Moment of inertia of the cracked section transformed to concrete. <u>PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.2.2)</u> As calculated previously, the positive reinforcement for the middle span frame strip is 22 #6 bars located at 1.125 in. along the section from the bottom of the slab. Figure 23 shows all the parameters needed to calculate the moment of inertia of the cracked section transformed to concrete at midspan.



Figure 23 - Cracked Transformed Section - positive moment section

 $E_{cs}$  = Modulus of elasticity of slab concrete.

$$E_{cs} = w_c^{1.5} 33\sqrt{f_c'} = 150^{1.5} \times 33 \times \sqrt{5000} = 4287 \times 10^3 \text{ psi}$$

$$n = \frac{E_s}{E_{cs}} = \frac{29000000}{4287000} = 6.76$$

$$PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table 10-2)}$$

$$B = \frac{b}{nA_s} = \frac{33 \times 12}{6.76 \times (22 \times 0.44)} = 6.05 \text{ in.}^{-1}$$

$$PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table 10-2)}$$

$$kd = \frac{\sqrt{2dB+1}-1}{B} = \frac{\sqrt{2 \times 15.88 \times 6.05 + 1} - 1}{6.05} = 2.13 \text{ in.}$$

$$PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table 10-2)}$$



$$I_{cr} = \frac{b(kd)^3}{3} + nA_s(d - kd)^2$$

$$PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table 10-2)$$

$$I_{cr} = \frac{33 \times 12 \times (2.13)^3}{3} + 6.76 \times (22 \times 0.44) (15.88 - 2.13)^2 = 13,647 \text{ in.}^4$$

# For negative moment section (near the interior support of the end span):

The negative reinforcement for the end span frame strip near the interior support is 45 #6 bars located at 1.125 in. along the section from the top of the slab.

$$M_{cr} = \frac{f_r I_g}{y_t} = \frac{530.33 \times 103,622}{9.65} \times \frac{1}{12 \times 1000} = 538.77 \text{ ft-kips}$$

$$\frac{ACI 318-14 (Eq. 24.2.3.5b)}{ACI 318-14 (Eq. 19.2.3.1)}$$

$$f_r = 7.5\lambda \sqrt{f_c} = 7.5 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{5000} = 530.33 \text{ psi}$$

$$\frac{ACI 318-14 (Eq. 19.2.3.1)}{I_g} = 103,622 \text{ in.}^2 \text{ (See Figure 24)}$$

Note: A lower value of  $I_g$  (60,255 in.<sup>4</sup>) excluding the drop panel is conservatively adopted in calculating waffle slab deflection by the spSlab software.

 $y_t = 9.65$  in.



Where  $b_{total} = 144 + 7 \times 7.17 = 194$  in. (See Figures 24 and 25)



$$kd = \frac{\sqrt{2dB+1}-1}{B} = \frac{\sqrt{2 \times 15.88 \times 1.45 + 1} - 1}{1.45} = 4.04 \text{ in.}$$

$$PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table 10-2)}$$

$$I_{cr} = \frac{b_{total}}{3} (kd)^{3} + nA_{s} (d-kd)^{2}$$

$$PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table 10-2)}$$

$$I_{cr} = \frac{194 \times (4.04)^{3}}{3} + 6.76 \times (45 \times 0.44) (15.88 - 4.04)^{2} = 23,029 \text{ in.}^{4}$$

Note: A lower value of  $I_{cr}$  (18,722 in.<sup>4</sup>) excluding the drop panel is conservatively adopted in calculating waffle slab deflection by the spSlab software.





The effective moment of inertia procedure described in the Code is considered sufficiently accurate to estimate deflections. The effective moment of inertia,  $I_e$ , was developed to provide a transition between the upper and lower bounds of  $I_g$  and  $I_{cr}$  as a function of the ratio  $M_{cr}/M_a$ . For conventionally reinforced (nonprestressed) members, the effective moment of inertia,  $I_e$ , shall be calculated by Eq. (24.2.3.5a) unless obtained by a more comprehensive analysis.

 $I_e$  shall be permitted to be taken as the value obtained from Eq. (24.2.3.5a) at midspan for simple and continuous spans, and at the support for cantilevers. ACI 318-14 (24.2.3.7)

For continuous one-way slabs and beams.  $I_e$  shall be permitted to be taken as the average of values obtained from Eq. (24.2.3.5a) for the critical positive and negative moment sections. <u>ACI 318-14 (24.2.3.6)</u>

For the middle span (span with two ends continuous) with service load level  $(D+LL_{full})$ :

$$I_e^- = \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a}\right)^3 I_g + \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a}\right)^3\right] I_{cr} \text{, since } M_{cr} = 538.77 \text{ ft-kips} < M_a = 926.56 \text{ ft-kips}$$

## ACI 318-14 (24.2.3.5a)

Where  $I_e^-$  is the effective moment of inertia for the critical negative moment section (near the support).

$$I_{e}^{-} = \left(\frac{538.77}{926.56}\right)^{3} \times 103,622 + \left[1 - \left(\frac{538.77}{926.56}\right)^{3}\right] \times 23,029 = 38,873 \text{ in.}^{4}$$



$$I_e^+ = I_g^- = 60,225 \text{ in.}^4$$
, since  $M_{cr}^- = 275.85 \text{ ft-kips} > M_a^- = 221.02 \text{ ft-kips}$ 

Where  $I_{e^+}$  is the effective moment of inertia for the critical positive moment section (midspan).

Since midspan stiffness (including the effect of cracking) has a dominant effect on deflections, midspan section is heavily represented in calculation of  $I_e$  and this is considered satisfactory in approximate deflection calculations. Both the midspan stiffness ( $I_e^+$ ) and averaged span stiffness ( $I_{e,avg}$ ) can be used in the calculation of immediate (instantaneous) deflection.

The averaged effective moment of inertia  $(I_{e,avg})$  is given by:

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.70 I_e^+ + 0.15 \left( I_{e,l}^- + I_{e,r}^- \right) \text{ for interior span} \qquad PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.2.4(2))}$$

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.85 I_e^+ + 0.15 I_e^- \text{ for end span} \qquad PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.2.4(1))}$$

However, these expressions lead to improved results <u>only for continuous prismatic members</u>. The drop panels in this example result in non-prismatic members and the following expressions are recommended according to ACI 318-89:

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.50 I_e^+ + 0.25 \left( I_{e,l}^- + I_{e,r}^- \right)$$
 for interior span ACI 435R-95 (2.14)

For the middle span (span with two ends continuous) with service load level  $(D+LL_{full})$ :

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.50 \times 60,255 + 0.25 \times (38,873 + 38,873) = 49,564 \text{ in.}^4$$

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.50 I_e^+ + 0.50 I_e^-$$
 for end span ACI 435R-95 (2.14)

For the end span (span with one end continuous) with service load level  $(D+LL_{full})$ :

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.50 \times 23,482 + 0.50 \times 34,692 = 29,087$$
 in.

Where:

 $I_{a,l}^{-}$  = The effective moment of inertia for the critical negative moment section near the left support.

 $I_{e,l}^{-}$  = The effective moment of inertia for the critical negative moment section near the right support.

 $I_{a}^{+}$  = The effective moment of inertia for the critical positive moment section (midspan).

Note: The prismatic member equations excluding the effect of the drop panel are conservatively adopted in calculating waffle slab deflection by spSlab.





Table 6 provides a summary of the required parameters and calculated values needed for deflections for exterior and interior spans.

	Table 6 – Averaged Effective Moment of Inertia Calculations													
	For Frame Strip													
Span		-	-		M <sub>a</sub> , kips-f	t		I <sub>e</sub> , in. <sup>4</sup>				I <sub>e,avg</sub> , in. <sup>4</sup>		
	zone	in. <sup>4</sup>	I <sub>cr</sub> , in. <sup>4</sup>	D	D + LL <sub>Sus</sub>	D + L <sub>full</sub>	M <sub>cr</sub> , k-ft	D	D + LL <sub>Sus</sub>	D + L <sub>full</sub>	D	D + LL <sub>Sus</sub>	D + L <sub>full</sub>	
	Left	103622	15505	206.5	206.5	338.0	539	103622	103622	103622				
Ext	Midspan	60255	15603	298.2	298.2	491.8	276	50964	50964	23482	62612	62612	29087	
	Right	103622	23029	626.6	626.6	1026.2	539	74259	74259	34692				
	Left	103622	23029	565.8	565.8	926.6	539	92620	92620	38873				
Int	Mid	60255	13647	132.6	132.6	221.0	276	60255	60255	60255	76437	76437	49564	
	Right	103622	23029	565.8	565.8	926.6	539	92620	92620	38873				

Deflections in two-way slab systems shall be calculated taking into account size and shape of the panel, conditions of support, and nature of restraints at the panel edges. For immediate deflections in two-way slab systems, the midpanel deflection is computed as the sum of deflection at midspan of the column strip or column line in one direction ( $\Delta_{cx}$  or  $\Delta_{cy}$ ) and deflection at midspan of the middle strip in the orthogonal direction ( $\Delta_{mx}$  or  $\Delta_{my}$ ). Figure 26 shows the deflection computation for a rectangular panel. The average  $\Delta$  for panels that have different properties in the two direction is calculated as follows:

$$\Delta = \frac{(\Delta_{cx} + \Delta_{my}) + (\Delta_{cy} + \Delta_{mx})}{2}$$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 8)





(c) Combined Bending

#### Figure 26 – Deflection Computation for a rectangular Panel

To calculate each term of the previous equation, the following procedure should be used. Figure 27 shows the procedure of calculating the term  $\Delta_{cx}$ . Same procedure can be used to find the other terms.



## Figure 27 $-\Delta_{cx}$ calculation procedure

For end span - service dead load case:

$$\Delta_{frame, fixed} = \frac{wl^4}{384E_c I_{frame, averaged}}$$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 10)



Where:

 $\Delta_{frame, fixed}$  = Deflection of frame strip assuming fixed end condition.

$$w_{SDL+slab} = (50+150\times8/12)(33) = 4950 \text{ lb/ft}$$

$$w_{drop \ panel} = (150\times17/12)(12) = 2550 \text{ lb/ft}$$

$$w = \frac{4950\times(33-12)+(4950+2550)\times(12-20/12)}{(33-20/12)} = 5791 \text{ lb/ft}$$

$$E_c = w_c^{1.5} 33\sqrt{f_c'} = 4287\times10^3 \text{ psi}$$
ACI 318-14 (19.2.2.1.a)

 $I_{frame,averaged}$  = The averaged effective moment of inertia ( $I_{e,avg}$ ) for the frame strip for service dead load case from Table 6 = 62,612 in.<sup>4</sup>

$$\Delta_{frame, fixed} = \frac{(5791)(33)^4 (12)^3}{384(4287 \times 10^3)(62, 612)} = 0.094 \text{ in.}$$

$$\Delta_{c,fixed} = LDF_c \times \Delta_{frame,fixed} \times \left(\frac{I_{frame}}{I_c}\right)_g$$
PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 11)

 $LDF_c$  is the load distribution factor for the column strip. The load distribution factor for the column strip can be found from the following equation:

$$LDF_{c} = \frac{LDF^{+} + \frac{LDF_{l}^{-} + LDF_{R}^{-}}{2}}{2}$$
spSlab Software Manual (Eq. 2-114)

And the load distribution factor for the middle strip can be found from the following equation:

$$LDF_m = 1 - LDF_c$$
 spSlab Software Manual (Eq. 2-115)

Taking for example the end span where highest deflections are expected, the LDF for exterior negative region  $(LDF_L^-)$ , interior negative region  $(LDF_R^-)$ , and positive region  $(LDF_L^+)$  are 1.00, 0.75, and 0.60, respectively (From Table 2 of this document). Thus, the load distribution factor for the column strip for the end span is given by:

$$LDF_{c} = \frac{0.6 + \frac{1.0 + 0.75}{2}}{2} = 0.738$$



 $I_{c,g}$  = The gross moment of inertia ( $I_g$ ) for the column strip for service dead load = 28,289 in.<sup>4</sup>

$$\Delta_{c,fixed} = 0.738 \times 0.094 \times \frac{60,255}{28,289} = 0.1468 \text{ in.}$$

$$\theta_{c,L} = \frac{(M_{net,L})_{frame}}{K_{ec}}$$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 12)

Where:

 $\theta_{c,L}$  = Rotation of the span left support.

 $(M_{net,L})_{frame} = 206.49$  ft-kips = Net frame strip negative moment of the left support.

 $K_{ec}$  = effective column stiffness = 1925 x 10<sup>6</sup> in.-lb (calculated previously).

$$\theta_{c,L} = \frac{206.49 \times 12 \times 1000}{1925 \times 10^6} = 0.00129 \text{ rad}$$

$$\Delta \theta_{c,L} = \theta_{c,L} \left(\frac{l}{8}\right) \left(\frac{I_g}{I_e}\right)_{fram}$$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 14)

Where:

 $\Delta \theta_{c,L}$  = Midspan deflection due to rotation of left support.

 $\left(\frac{I_g}{I_e}\right)_{frame}$  = Gross-to-effective moment of inertia ratio for frame strip.

$$\Delta \theta_{c,L} = 0.00129 \times \frac{33 \times 12 - 20}{8} \times \frac{60,255}{62,612} = 0.058 \text{ in.}$$
$$\theta_{c,R} = \frac{(M_{net,R})_{frame}}{K_{ec}} = \frac{(626.60 - 565.78) \times 12 \times 1000}{1925 \times 10^6} = 0.00038 \text{ rad}$$



Where

 $\theta_{c,R}$  = Rotation of the end span right support.

 $(M_{net,R})_{frame}$  = Net frame strip negative moment of the right support.

$$\Delta \theta_{c,R} = \theta_{c,R} \left(\frac{l}{8}\right) \left(\frac{I_g}{I_e}\right)_{frame} = 0.00038 \times \frac{33 \times 12 - 20}{8} \times \frac{60,255}{62,612} = 0.017 \text{ in}.$$

Where:

 $\Delta \theta_{c,R}$  = Midspan deflection due to rotation of right support.

$$\Delta_{cx} = \Delta_{cx, fixed} + \Delta \theta_{cx,R} + \Delta \theta_{cx,L}$$
PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 9)

 $\Delta_{cx} = 0.147 + 0.017 + 0.058 = 0.222$  in.

Following the same procedure,  $\Delta_{mx}$  can be calculated for the middle strip. This procedure is repeated for the equivalent frame in the orthogonal direction to obtain  $\Delta_{cy}$ , and  $\Delta_{my}$  for the end and middle spans for the other load levels ( $D+LL_{sus}$  and  $D+LL_{full}$ ).

Since this example has square panels,  $\Delta_{cx} = \Delta_{cy} = 0.222$  in. and  $\Delta_{mx} = \Delta_{my} = 0.128$  in. The average  $\Delta$  for the corner panel is calculated as follows:

$$\Delta = \frac{(\Delta_{cx} + \Delta_{my}) + (\Delta_{cy} + \Delta_{mx})}{2} = (\Delta_{cx} + \Delta_{my}) = (\Delta_{cy} + \Delta_{mx}) = 0.222 + 0.128 = 0.350 \text{ in.}$$

The calculated deflection can now be compared with the applicable limits from the governing standards or project specified limits and requirements. Optimization for further savings in materials or construction costs can be now made based on permissible deflections in lieu of accepting the minimum values stipulated in the standards to avoid deflection calculations.





#### Table 7 – Immediate (Instantaneous) Deflections in the x-direction

#### Column Strip

Span	LDF	D								
		$\Delta_{\text{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ <sub>c-fixed</sub> , in.	θ <sub>c1</sub> , rad	θ <sub>c2</sub> , rad	$\Delta \theta_{c1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{c2},$ in.	$\Delta_{cx},$ in.		
Ext	0.738	0.094	0.147	0.00129	0.0004	0.058	0.017	0.222		
Int	0.675	0.077	0.110	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.014	-0.014	0.082		

	D									
LDF	$\Delta_{ ext{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ <sub>m-fixed</sub> , in.	θ <sub>m1</sub> , rad	θ <sub>m2</sub> , rad	$\Delta \theta_{m1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{m2},$ in.	$\Delta_{mx},$ in.			
0.262	0.094	0.052	0.00129	0.0004	0.058	0.017	0.128			
0.325	0.077	0.053	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.014	-0.014	0.025			

Middle Strip

G		D+LL <sub>sus</sub>							
Span	n LDF	$\Delta_{\text{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ <sub>c-fixed</sub> , in.	θ <sub>c1</sub> , rad	θ <sub>c2</sub> , rad	$\Delta \theta_{c1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{c2},$ in.	$\Delta_{cx}$ , in.	
Ext	0.738	0.094	0.147	0.00129	0.0004	0.058	0.017	0.222	
Int	0.675	0.077	0.110	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.014	-0.014	0.082	

		D+LL <sub>sus</sub>								
LDF	$\Delta_{ ext{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ <sub>m-fixed</sub> , in.	θ <sub>m1</sub> , rad	θ <sub>m2</sub> , rad	$\Delta \theta_{m1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{m2},$ in.	$\Delta_{mx}$ , in.			
0.262	0.094	0.052	0.00129	0.0004	0.058	0.017	0.128			
0.325	0.077	0.053	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.014	-0.014	0.025			

a		$D+LL_{full}$									
Span	LDF	$\Delta_{\text{frame-fixed}},$ in.	$\Delta_{\text{c-fixed}},$ in.	θ <sub>c1</sub> , rad	θ <sub>c2</sub> , rad	$\Delta \theta_{c1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{c2},$ in.	$\Delta_{cx},$ in.			
Ext	0.738	0.316	0.497	0.0021	0.0006	0.205	0.060	0.762			
Int	0.675	0.186	0.267	-0.0006	-0.0006	-0.035	-0.035	0.196			

	D+LL <sub>full</sub>									
LDF	$\Delta_{ ext{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ <sub>m-fixed</sub> , in.	θ <sub>m1</sub> , rad	θ <sub>m2</sub> , rad	$\Delta \theta_{m1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{m2},$ in.	$\Delta_{mx},$ in.			
0.262	0.316	0.177	0.0021	0.0006	0.205	0.060	0.442			
0.325	0.186	0.128	-0.0006	-0.0006	-0.035	-0.035	0.057			

a	LDE	LL		
Span	LDF	$\Delta_{cx},$ in.		
Ext	0.738	0.540		
Int	0.675	0.114		

	LL
LDF	$\Delta_{mx},$ in.
0.262	0.314
0.325	0.032

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS

**Structure** Point



# 5.2. Time-Dependent (Long-Term) Deflections $(\Delta_{lt})$

The additional time-dependent (long-term) deflection resulting from creep and shrinkage ( $\Delta_{cs}$ ) may be estimated as follows:

$$\Delta_{cs} = \lambda_{\Lambda} \times (\Delta_{sust})_{Inst}$$
PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.2.5 Eq. 4)

The total time-dependent (long-term) deflection is calculated as:

$$(\Delta_{total})_{lt} = (\Delta_{sust})_{lnst} \times (1 + \lambda_{\Delta}) + [(\Delta_{total})_{lnst} - (\Delta_{sust})_{lnst}]$$
CSA A23.3-04 (N9.8.2.5)

Where:

 $(\Delta_{sust})_{Inst}$  = Immediate (instantaneous) deflection due to sustained load, in.

$$\lambda_{\Delta} = \frac{\xi}{1+50\rho'} \underline{ACI 318-14 (24.2.4.1.1)}$$

 $(\Delta_{total})_{lt}$  = Time-dependent (long-term) total delfection, in.

 $(\Delta_{total})_{Inst}$  = Total immediate (instantaneous) deflection, in.

For the exterior span

 $\xi = 2$ , consider the sustained load duration to be 60 months or more. <u>ACI 318-14 (Table 24.2.4.1.3)</u>

 $\rho' = 0$ , conservatively.

$$\lambda_{\Delta} = \frac{2}{1+50\times0} = 2$$

 $\Delta_{cs} = 2 \times 0.222 = 0.445$  in.

$$(\Delta_{total})_{lt} = 0.222 \times (1+2) + (0.762 - 0.222) = 1.207$$
 in.





Table 8 shows long-term deflections for the exterior and interior spans for the analysis in the x-direction, for column and middle strips.

	Table 8 - Long-Term Deflections								
	Column Strip								
Span	$(\Delta_{\text{sust}})_{\text{Inst}}$ , in.	$\lambda_{\Delta}$	$\Delta_{\rm cs}$ , in.	$(\Delta_{total})_{Inst}$ , in.	$(\Delta_{\text{total}})_{\text{lt}}$ , in.				
Exterior	0.222	2.000	0.445	0.762	1.207				
Interior	0.082	2.000	0.164	0.196	0.360				
	Middle Strip								
Exterior	0.128	2.000	0.255	0.442	0.698				
Interior	0.025	2.000	0.050	0.057	0.107				

## 6. spSlab Software Program Model Solution

<u>spSlab</u> program utilizes the Equivalent Frame Method described and illustrated in details here for modeling, analysis and design of two-way concrete floor slab systems with drop panels. <u>spSlab</u> uses the exact geometry and boundary conditions provided as input to perform an elastic stiffness (matrix) analysis of the equivalent frame taking into account the torsional stiffness of the slabs framing into the column. It also takes into account the complications introduced by a large number of parameters such as vertical and torsional stiffness of transverse beams, the stiffening effect of drop panels, column capitals, and effective contribution of columns above and below the floor slab using the of equivalent column concept (<u>ACI 318-14 (R8.11.4)</u>).

<u>spSlab</u> Program models the equivalent frame as a design strip. The design strip is, then, separated by <u>spSlab</u> into column and middle strips. The program calculates the internal forces (Shear Force & Bending Moment), moment and shear capacity vs. demand diagrams for column and middle strips, instantaneous and long-term deflection results, and required flexural reinforcement for column and middle strips. The graphical and text results are provided below for both input and output of the <u>spSlab</u> model.



 $File: C: \ TSDA \ TSDA \ spSlab \ Two \ Way \ Joist \ Slab \ slb$ 

Project: Two-Way Joist (Waffle) System

Frame: Interior

Engineer: SP

Code: ACI 318-14

Date: 05/18/17

Time: 09:02:25



CASE: SELF

spSlab v5.00. Licensed to: StructurePoint. License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6

File: C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb

Project: Two-Way Joist (Waffle) System

Frame: Interior

Engineer: SP

Code: ACI 318-14

Date: 05/18/17

Time: 09:06:07



File: C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb

Project: Two-Way Joist (Waffle) System

Frame: Interior

Engineer: SP

Code: ACI 318-14

Date: 05/18/17

Time: 10:40:29



File: C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb

Project: Two-Way Joist (Waffle) System

Frame: Interior

Engineer: SP

Code: ACI 318-14

Date: 05/18/17

Time: 09:10:41



File: C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb

Project: Two-Way Joist (Waffle) System

Frame: Interior

Engineer: SP

Code: ACI 318-14

Date: 05/18/17

Time: 09:13:17



File: C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb

Project: Two-Way Joist (Waffle) System

Frame: Interior

Engineer: SP

Code: ACI 318-14

Date: 05/18/17

Time: 09:15:51

12-#6(396.0)c 12-#6(396.0)c		12-#6(396.0)c	14-#6(134.5)	12-#6(396.0)c	
$\begin{array}{c c} & 14.46(10.0)c \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & $	-16-#6(134.1) 	10-#6(396.0)c		Middle Stri 	p Flexural Reinforcement 

File: C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb

Project: Two-Way Joist (Waffle) System

Frame: Interior

Engineer: SP

Code: ACI 318-14

Date: 05/18/17

Time: 09:17:47

Page 1

000000       0       0       0         00       00       00       00       00         00       0       00       00       00       00         00       0       00       00       00       00       00         00       0       00       00       00       00       00       00         00       00       00       00       00       00       00       00       00         00       00       00       00       00       00       00       00       00       00         00	
Licensee stated above acknowledges that STRUCTUREPOINT (SP) is not and cannot be responsible for either the accuracy or adequacy of the material supplied as input for processing by the spSlab computer program. Furthermore, STRUCTUREPOINT neither makes any warranty expressed nor implied with respect to the correctness of the output prepared by the spSlab program. Although STRUCTUREPOINT has endeavored to produce spSlab error free the program is not and cannot be certified infallible. The final and only responsibility for analysis, design and engineering documents is the licensee's. Accordingly, STRUCTUREPOINT disclaims all responsibility in contract, negligence or other tort for any analysis, design or engineering documents prepared in connection with the use of the spSlab program.	
[1] INPUT ECHO	
<pre>General Information ====================================</pre>	
Slabs       Beams       Columns         wc       =       150       150 lb/ft3         f'c       =       5       6 ksi         Ec       =       4286.8       4696 ksi         fr       =       0.53033       0.58095 ksi         fy       =       60 ksi, Bars are not epoxy-coated	
fyt = 60 ksi Es = 29000 ksi	
Reinforcement Database	

==================											
Units:	Db (in),	Ab (in^2)	), Wb (lb	/ft)							
Size	Db	Ab	Wb	Size	Db	Ab	Wb				
#3	0.38	0.11	0.38	#4	0.50	0.20	0.67				
#5	0.63	0.31	1.04	#6	0.75	0.44	1.50				
#7	0.88	0.60	2.04	#8	1.00	0.79	2.67				
#9	1.13	1.00	3.40	#10	1.27	1.27	4.30				

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint 05-18-2017, 09:18:53 AM Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb Page 2 1.41 1.56 2.26 4.00 5.31 #14 1.69 2.25 #11 7.65 5.J\_ 13.60 #18 Span Data ========= Slabs Units: L1, wL, wR, L2L, L2R (ft); t, Hmin (in) L2L L2R Span Loc L1 t wL wR Hmin \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ ----- ------ ------ ------ ------ 

 1 Int
 0.833
 3.00
 16.500
 16.500
 33.000
 33.000
 --- 

 2 Int
 33.000
 3.00
 16.500
 16.500
 33.000
 33.000
 2.50

 3 Int
 33.000
 3.00
 16.500
 16.500
 33.000
 33.000
 2.50

 4 Int
 33.000
 3.00
 16.500
 16.500
 33.000
 33.000
 2.50

 5 Int
 0.833
 3.00
 16.500
 16.500
 33.000
 33.000
 -- 
 --- LC \*i --- RC \*i NOTES: Deflection check required for panels where code-specified Hmin for two-way construction doesn't apply due to: \*i - cantilever end span (LC, RC) support condition Ribs and Longitudinal Beams Units: b, h, Sp (in) 
 Units:
 b, n, sp (in)
 Beams

 Span
 b
 h
 Sp
 b
 h

 1
 6.00
 14.00
 30.00
 0.00
 0.00

 2
 6.00
 14.00
 30.00
 0.00
 0.00

 3
 6.00
 14.00
 30.00
 0.00
 0.00

 4
 6.00
 14.00
 30.00
 0.00
 0.00

 5
 6.00
 14.00
 30.00
 0.00
 0.00
 \_\_\_\_\_Beams\_\_\_\_\_ b h Offset -----0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Support Data \_\_\_\_\_ Columns Units: cla, c2a, c1b, c2b (in); Ha, Hb (ft) 

 Supp
 cla
 c2a
 Ha
 clb
 c2b
 Hb
 Red%

 ------ 1
 20.00
 20.00
 13.000
 20.00
 20.00
 13.000
 100

 2
 20.00
 20.00
 13.000
 20.00
 20.00
 13.000
 100

 3
 20.00
 20.00
 13.000
 20.00
 20.00
 13.000
 100

 4
 20.00
 20.00
 13.000
 20.00
 20.00
 13.000
 100

 Drop Panels \_\_\_\_\_ Units: h (in); Ll, Lr, Wl, Wr (ft) Supp h Ll Lr Wr W1 \_\_\_ \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ ---- - 
 1
 0.00
 0.833
 6.000
 6.000
 6.000

 2
 0.00
 6.000
 6.000
 6.000
 6.000

 3
 0.00
 6.000
 6.000
 6.000
 6.000
 0.00 6.000 0.833 6.000 6.000 4 Boundary Conditions Units: Kz (kip/in); Kry (kip-in/rad) Supp Spring Kz Spring Kry Far End A Far End B 0 0 Fixed Fixed 0 0 Fixed Fixed 0 0 Fixed Fixed 0 0 Fixed Fixed \_\_\_\_ 1 2 3 0 Fixed 4 0 Fixed Load Data \_\_\_\_\_ Load Cases and Combinations \_\_\_\_\_ Dead Live DEAD LIVE Case SELF DEAD Type 1.200 1.200 1.600 U1 Area Loads \_\_\_\_\_ Units: Wa (lb/ft2) Case/Patt Span Wa -----1 100.06 SELF 2 100.06 100.06 3 100.06 100.06 4 5

2 50.00 3 50.00 4 50.00 5 50.00

1

50.00

Dead

Units: Wa, Wb (lb/ft), La, Lb (ft)										
Case/Patt	Span	Wa	La	Wb	Lb					
SELF	1	1349.32	-0.000	1349.32	0.833					
	2	1349.32	0.000	1349.32	6.000					
	2	1349.32	27.000	1349.32	33.000					
	3	1349.32	0.000	1349.32	6.000					
	3	1349.32	27.000	1349.32	33.000					
	4	1349.32	0.000	1349.32	6.000					
	4	1349.32	27.000	1349.32	33.000					
	5	1349.32	0.000	1349.32	0.833					

#### Reinforcement Criteria

-----

Slabs and Ribs

	Top Min	bars Max	Bottom Min	bars Max
Bar Size	#6	#8	#6	#8
Bar spacing	1.00	18.00	1.00	18.00 in
Reinf ratio	0.14	5.00	0.14	5.00 %
Cover	0.75		0.75	in
			<i>c</i>	

There is NOT more than 12 in of concrete below top bars.

# Beams

	Top	bars	Bottom	bars	Sti	rrups
	Min	Max	. Min	Max	Min	Max
Bar Size	#5	#8	#5	#8	#3	#5
Bar spacing	1.00	18.00	1.00	18.00	6.00	18.00 in
Reinf ratio	0.14	5.00	0.14	5.00	00	
Cover	1.50		1.50		in	
Layer dist.	1.00		1.00		in	
No. of legs					2	6
Side cover					1.50	in
lst Stirrup					3.00	in
There is NOT	' more th	han 12 in	of concre	te below	top bars.	

Page 1

					000	0000	0				0				
					00	00	00				00				
	000	000	0000	000	00		00		000	000	00				
	00	0	00	00	00		00		0	00	00				
	00		00	00	00	00	00		000	0000	000	000			
	000	000	00	00		000	00		00	00	00	00			
		00	0000	000		00	00		00	00	00	00			
	0	00	00		00	00	00	0	00	00	00	00			
	000	000	00		000	0000	00	0	000	0 000	00	000	(TM)		
	=====		=====	=====			=====	====	====:	=====	====	=====	======		
				-	spSl	.ab v5	.00 (	TM)					-		
A	Compu	iter I	Progra	am foi	r Anal	ysis,	Desi	gn,	and 1	Invest	igat	ion o	Í		
	Reinfo	orced	Conc	rete l	Beams,	One-	way a	nd T	wo-wa	ay Sla	b Sy	stems			
		Cor	pyrigl	nt © 2	2003-2	2015,	STRUC	TURE	POIN	Γ, LLC	!				
All rights reserved															
Licensee stated	abor	ze a	acknow	wledge	es t	hat	STRU	CTUR	EPOII	NT (	SP)	is	not	and	cannot

be responsible for either the accuracy or adequacy of the material supplied as input for processing by the spSlab computer program. Furthermore, STRUCTUREPOINT neither makes any warranty expressed nor implied with respect to the correctness of the output prepared by the spSlab program. Although STRUCTUREPOINT has endeavored to produce spSlab error free the program is not and cannot be certified infallible. The final and only responsibility for analysis, design and engineering documents is the licensee's. Accordingly, STRUCTUREPOINT disclaims all responsibility in contract, negligence or other tort for any analysis, design or engineering documents prepared in connection with the use of the spSlab program.

#### \_\_\_\_\_ [2] DESIGN RESULTS\* \_\_\_\_\_

\*Unless otherwise noted, all results are in the direction of analysis only. Another analysis in the perpendicular direction has to be carried out for two-way slab systems.

#### Strip Widths and Distribution Factors

Units: Width (ft)

Width (it).										
Span Strip	Left**	_width Right**	Bottom*	MC Left**	Right**	Bottom*				
1 Column	16.50	16.50	16.50	1.000	1.000	0.600				
Middle	16.50	16.50	16.50	0.000	0.000	0.400				
2 Column	16.50	16.50	16.50	1.000	0.750	0.600				
Middle	16.50	16.50	16.50	0.000	0.250	0.400				
3 Column	16.50	16.50	16.50	0.750	0.750	0.600				
Middle	16.50	16.50	16.50	0.250	0.250	0.400				
4 Column	16.50	16.50	16.50	0.750	1.000	0.600				
Middle	16.50	16.50	16.50	0.250	0.000	0.400				
5 Column Middle	16.50 16.50	16.50 16.50	16.50 16.50	1.000	1.000	0.600 0.400				

\*Used for bottom reinforcement. \*\*Used for top reinforcement.

#### Top Reinforcement \_\_\_\_\_

Units: Width	n (ft),	Mmax (k-ft),	Xmax (ft),	As (in'	`2), Sp (in)
Span Strip	Zone	Width	Mmax	Xmax	AsMin

Span	Strip	Zone	Width	Mmax	Xmax	AsMin	AsMax	AsReq	SpProv	Bars
1	Column	Left	16.50	0.41	0.241	2.853	10.120	0.006	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
		Midspan	16.50	1.32	0.447	2.853	10.120	0.018	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
		Right	16.50	3.09	0.687	5.184	51.614	0.043	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
	Middle	Left	16.50	0.00	0.000	2.853	12.144	0.000	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
		Midspan	16.50	0.00	0.344	2.853	12.144	0.000	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
		Right	16.50	0.00	0.687	2.853	12.144	0.000	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
2	Column	Left	16.50	323.84	0.833	5.184	51.614	4.594	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
		Midspan	16.50	0.00	16.500	0.000	10.120	0.000	0.000	
		Right	16.50	907.33	32.167	5.184	51.614	13.208	6.387	31-#6
	Middle	Left	16.50	1.39	2.063	2.853	12.144	0.019	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
		Midspan	16.50	0.00	16.500	0.000	12.144	0.000	0.000	
		Right	16.50	302.44	32.167	2.853	12.144	4.482	14.143	14-#6 *5
3	Column	Left	16.50	823.68	0.833	5.184	51.614	11.945	6.387	31-#6
		Midspan	16.50	0.00	16.500	0.000	10.120	0.000	0.000	
		Right	16.50	823.68	32.167	5.184	51.614	11.945	6.387	31-#6
	Middle	Left	16.50	274.56	0.833	2.853	12.144	4.046	14.143	14-#6 *5
spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint

Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb

05-18-2017,	10:30:13	ΑM

Page 2

	Midspan	16.50	0.00	16.500	0.000	12.144	0.000	0.000	
	Right	16.50	274.56	32.167	2.853	12.144	4.046	14.143	14-#6 *5
4 Col	lumn Left	16.50	907.33	0.833	5.184	51.614	13.208	6.387	31-#6
	Midspan	16.50	0.00	16.500	0.000	10.120	0.000	0.000	
	Right	16.50	323.84	32.167	5.184	51.614	4.595	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
Mić	ddle Left	16.50	302.44	0.833	2.853	12.144	4.482	14.143	14-#6 *5
	Midspan	16.50	0.00	16.500	0.000	12.144	0.000	0.000	
	Right	16.50	1.39	30.937	2.853	12.144	0.019	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
5 Col	lumn Left	16.50	3.10	0.146	5.184	51.614	0.043	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
	Midspan	16.50	1.32	0.386	2.853	10.120	0.018	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
	Right	16.50	0.41	0.593	2.853	10.120	0.006	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
Mić	ddle Left	16.50	0.00	0.146	2.853	12.144	0.000	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
	Midspan	16.50	0.00	0.490	2.853	12.144	0.000	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5
	Right	16.50	0.00	0.833	2.853	12.144	0.000	14.143	14-#6 *3 *5

NOTES:

\*3 - Design governed by minimum reinforcement.\*5 - Number of bars governed by maximum allowable spacing.

### Top Bar Details

\_\_\_\_\_

Units: Length (ft)

		Left				Conti	nuous	Right			
Span	Strip	Bars	Length	Bars	Length	Bars	Length	Bars	Length	Bars	Length
1	Column Middle	 		 		14-#6 14-#6	0.83	 		 	
2	Column Middle	12-#6 14-#6	11.17 7.73	2-#6	7.10			16-#6 14-#6	11.17 10.21	15-#6* 	7.10
3	Column Middle	16-#6 14-#6	11.21 11.21	15-#6* 	7.10			16-#6 14-#6	11.21 11.21	15-#6* 	7.10
4	Column Middle	16-#6 14-#6	11.17 10.21	15-#6* 	7.10			12-#6 14-#6	11.17 7.73	2-#6	7.10
5	Column Middle					14-#6 14-#6	0.83 0.83				

NOTES:

\* - Bar cut-off location does not meet ACI 318, 12.10.5.1. Revise location, unless the requirements of either 12.10.5.2 or 12.10.5.3 are manually checked and satisfied.

Top Bar Development Lengths

-----

Units: Length (in)

			Left	t		Continuous		Right			
Span	Strip	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen
	Column					14-#6	12.00				
	Middle					14-#6	12.00				
2	Column	12-#6	18.99	2-#6	18.99			16-#6	24.65	15-#6	24.65
	Middle	14-#6	12.00					14-#6	18.52		
3	Column	16-#6	22.29	15-#6	22.29			16-#6	22.29	15-#6	22.29
	Middle	14-#6	16.72					14-#6	16.72		
4	Column	16-#6	24.65	15-#6	24.65			12-#6	18.99	2-#6	18.99
	Middle	14-#6	18.52					14-#6	12.00		
5	Column					14-#6	12.00				
	Middle					14-#6	12.00				

Bottom Reinforcement

------

τ	Jnits: Width	(ft), Mmax	(k-ft),	Xmax (ft),	As (in^2	2), Sp (in	.)			
ŝ	Span Strip	Width	Mmax	Xmax	AsMin	AsMax	AsReq	SpProv	Bars	
	1 Column	16.50	0.00	0.344	0.000	66.794	0.000	0.000		
	Middle	16.50	0.00	0.344	0.000	66.794	0.000	0.000		
	2 Column	16.50	400.59	14.000	2.853	66.531	5.703	3.823	10-#7	
	Middle	16.50	267.06	14.000	2.853	66.794	3.770	3.938	12-#6	
	3 Column	16.50	180.35	16.500	2.853	66.794	2.539	3.938	10-#6	*3
	Middle	16.50	120.24	16.500	2.853	66.794	1.690	3.938	12-#6	*3
	4 Column	16.50	400.59	19.000	2.853	66.531	5.703	3.823	10-#7	
	Middle	16.50	267.06	19.000	2.853	66.794	3.770	3.938	12-#6	
	5 Column	16.50	0.00	0.490	0.000	66.794	0.000	0.000		
	Middle	16.50	0.00	0.490	0.000	66.794	0.000	0.000		
1	NOTES:									

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb

\*3 - Design governed by minimum reinforcement.

\_\_\_\_\_

Units: Start (ft), Length (ft), As (in^2)

		Long Bars			Sho	ort Bars		Waffle		
Span	Strip	Bars	Start	Length	Bars	Start	Length	Ribs	Bars/Rib	As/Rib
1	Column									
	Middle									
2	Column	10-#7	0.00	33.00				5	2-#7	1.200
	Middle	12-#6	0.00	33.00				6	2-#6	0.880
3	Column	10-#6	0.00	33.00				5	2-#6	0.880
	Middle	12-#6	0.00	33.00				6	2-#6	0.880
4	Column	10-#7	0.00	33.00				5	2-#7	1.200
	Middle	12-#6	0.00	33.00				6	2-#6	0.880
5	Column									
	Middle									

Bottom Bar Development Lengths

Units: DevLen (in)

OTTECT	DCVHCII	( 111 )			
-		Long	Bars	Short	Bars
Span	Strip	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen
1	Column				
	Middle				
2	Column	10-#7	39.00		
	Middle	12-#6	18.18		
3	Column	10-#6	14.69		
	Middle	12-#6	12.00		
4	Column	10-#7	39.00		
	Middle	12-#6	18.18		
5	Column				
	Middle				

### Flexural Capacity

#### \_\_\_\_\_

### Units: x (ft), As (in^2), PhiMn, Mu (k-ft)

					Top	o					Bottor	n		
Span St	rip	x	AsTop	PhiMn-	Mu-	Comb	Pat	Status	AsBot	PhiMn+	Mu+	Comb	Pat	Status
1 Co	olumn	0.000	6.16	-399.88	0.00	U1	 All	0K	0.00	0.00	0.00	 U1	 All	ок
		0.241	6.16	-399.88	-0.41	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.417	6.16	-399.88	-1.11	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.447	6.16	-432.18	-1.32	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.687	6.16	-432.18	-3.09	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.833	6.16	-432.18	-4.46	U1	All		0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	
Mi	iddle	0.000	6.16	-406.57	0.00	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.241	6.16	-406.57	-0.00	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.417	6.16	-406.57	-0.00	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.447	6.16	-406.57	-0.00	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.687	6.16	-406.57	-0.00	U1	All	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK
		0.833	6.16	-406.57	-0.00	U1	All		0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	
2 Co	olumn	0.000	6.16	-432.18	-461.26	U1	All		6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	
		0.833	6.16	-432.18	-323.84	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	OK
		5.518	6.16	-432.18	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	159.35	U1	All	OK
		6.000	5.89	-413.70	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	186.15	U1	All	OK
		6.000	5.89	-384.13	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	186.19	U1	All	OK
		7.100	5.28	-347.67	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	241.15	U1	All	OK
		9.591	5.28	-347.67	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	335.67	U1	All	OK
		11.173	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	374.01	U1	All	OK
		11.800	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	384.55	U1	All	OK
		14.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	400.59	U1	All	OK
		16.500	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	379.22	U1	All	OK
		21.200	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	225.08	U1	All	OK
		21.827	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	193.28	U1	All	OK
		23.881	7.04	-450.44	0.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	70.55	U1	All	OK
		25.900	7.04	-450.44	-103.71	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	OK
		27.000	10.57	-616.27	-224.26	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	OK
		27.000	10.58	-732.25	-224.33	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	OK
		27.954	13.64	-935.78	-336.00	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	OK
		32.167	13.64	-935.78	-907.33	U1	All	OK	6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	OK
		32.375	13.64	-935.78	-938.65	U1	All		6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	
		33.000	13.64	-935.78	-1034.21	U1	All		6.00	421.16	0.00	U1	All	
Mi	iddle	0.000	6.16	-406.57	3.05	U1	All		5.28	372.72	0.00	U1	All	
		0.833	6.16	-406.57	-0.00	U1	All	OK	5.28	372.72	0.00	U1	All	OK
		2.063	6.16	-406.57	-1.39	U1	All	OK	5.28	372.72	0.00	U1	All	OK

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb 05-18-2017, 10:30:13 AM

Dir(10Dir op			2							
	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	-406.57 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 -406.57 -406.57	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 -302.44 -357.07	U1 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28	372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72	148.95179.27256.36267.06252.81150.0592.7926.380.000.00	U1 All O U1 All -	KKKKKKKKKK
3 Column	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 & 13.64 \\ 0.833 & 13.64 \\ 5.242 & 13.64 \\ 6.000 & 10.95 \\ 6.000 & 10.95 \\ 7.100 & 7.04 \\ 9.351 & 7.04 \\ 11.208 & 0.00 \\ 11.800 & 0.00 \\ 11.800 & 0.00 \\ 21.200 & 0.00 \\ 21.200 & 0.00 \\ 23.649 & 7.04 \\ 25.900 & 7.04 \\ 27.000 & 10.95 \\ 27.758 & 13.64 \\ 32.167 & 13.64 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -935.78\\ -935.78\\ -935.78\\ -757.29\\ -617.63\\ -450.44\\ -450.44\\ -450.44\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ -450.44\\ -450.44\\ -450.44\\ -617.63\\ -757.29\\ -935.78\\ -935.78\end{array}$	-942.14 -823.68 -308.27 -238.55 -238.50 -146.47 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 -146.47 -238.50 -238.55 -308.27 -823.68	U1 All U1 All	ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК	$\begin{array}{c} 4 . 40 \\ 4 . 40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 311.22\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 8.22\\ 86.05\\ 105.95\\ 180.35\\ 105.95\\ 86.05\\ 8.22\\ 0.00\\ 0.0$	U1 All - U1 All 0 U1 All 0	- 张��������������������������
Middle	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} -935.78 \\ -406.57 \\ -406.57 \\ -406.57 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ -406.57 \\ -406.57 \\ -406.57 \\ -406.57 \end{array}$	-942.14 -314.05 -274.56 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	U1 All U1 All	 OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	4.40 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28	311.22 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72 372.72	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 19.91\\ 57.37\\ 70.63\\ 120.24\\ 70.63\\ 57.37\\ 19.91\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	U1 All - U1 All - U1 All 0 U1 All -	K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K
4 Column	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.000 & 13.64\\ 0.625 & 13.64\\ 0.833 & 13.64\\ 5.046 & 13.64\\ 6.000 & 10.58\\ 6.000 & 10.57\\ 7.100 & 7.04\\ 9.119 & 7.04\\ 11.173 & 0.00\\ 11.800 & 0.00\\ 11.800 & 0.00\\ 15.500 & 0.00\\ 19.000 & 0.00\\ 21.200 & 0.00\\ 21.200 & 0.00\\ 23.409 & 5.28\\ 25.900 & 5.28\\ 27.000 & 5.89\\ 27.000 & 5.89\\ 27.482 & 6.16\\ 32.167 & 6.16\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} -935.78 & -935.78 \\ -935.78 \\ -935.78 \\ -935.78 \\ -732.25 \\ -616.27 \\ -450.44 \\ -450.44 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.347.67 \\ -347.67 \\ -347.67 \\ -344.13 \\ -413.70 \\ -432.18 \\ -432.18 \end{array}$	1034.21 -938.65 -907.33 -336.00 -224.33 -224.26 -103.71 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	U1 All U1 All	 ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК	6.00 6.00	$\begin{array}{c} 421.16\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 70.55\\ 193.28\\ 225.08\\ 379.22\\ 400.59\\ 384.55\\ 374.01\\ 335.67\\ 241.15\\ 186.18\\ 186.15\\ 159.35\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	U1 A11 - U1 A11 0 U1 A11 0	——————————————————————————————————————
Middle	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{r} -432.18\\ -406.57\\ -406.57\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ -406.57\\ -406.57\\ -406.57\\ -406.57\\ \end{array}$	-461.27 -357.07 -302.44 0.000 0.00 0.	U1 All U1 All	 OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	6.00 5.28	$\begin{array}{r} 421.16\\ 372.72\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 26.38\\ 92.79\\ 150.05\\ 252.81\\ 267.06\\ 256.36\\ 179.26\\ 148.95\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	U1 A11 - U1 A11 - U1 A11 O U1 A11 -	« « « « « « « » « » « » « » « » « »
5 Column Middle	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-432.18 -432.18 -399.88 -399.88 -399.88 -399.88 -406.57 -406.57 -406.57 -406.57	$\begin{array}{c} -4.46 \\ -3.10 \\ -1.32 \\ -1.12 \\ -0.41 \\ -0.00 \\ -0.00 \\ -0.00 \\ -0.00 \\ -0.00 \end{array}$	U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 Odd U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 Al1	ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\$	U1 All - U1 All O U1 All O U1 All O U1 All O U1 All O U1 All - U1 All O U1 All O U1 All O U1 All O U1 All O	

Slab Shear Capacity

b, d (in	), Xu (ft	:), PhiVc,	Vu(kip)		
b	d	Vratio	PhiVc	Vu	Xu
80.55	15.87	1.000	149.20	10.70	-0.00
195.26	15.88	1.000	337.41	10.70	-0.00
195.22	15.81	1.000	336.01	138.99	2.15
80.49	15.81	1.000	148.50	146.11	27.00
195.22	15.81	1.000	336.01	195.53	30.85
195.26	15.88	1.000	337.41	167.19	2.16
80.55	15.87	1.000	149.20	117.83	6.00
195.26	15.88	1.000	337.41	167.19	30.84
195.22	15.81	1.000	336.01	195.53	2.15
80.49	15.81	1.000	148.50	146.11	6.00
195.22	15.81	1.000	336.01	138.99	30.85
195.26	15.88	1.000	337.41	0.00	0.83
80.55	15.87	1.000	149.20	0.00	0.83
	b, d (in b 80.55 195.22 80.49 195.22 195.26 80.55 195.26 195.22 80.49 195.22 80.49 195.22 195.26 80.55	b, d (in), Xu (ft b d 80.55 15.87 195.26 15.88 195.22 15.81 80.49 15.81 195.26 15.88 80.55 15.87 195.26 15.88 195.22 15.81 80.49 15.81 195.22 15.81 195.22 15.81 195.26 15.88 80.55 15.87	b, d (in), Xu (ft), PhiVc, b d Vratio 80.55 15.87 1.000 195.26 15.88 1.000 195.22 15.81 1.000 80.49 15.81 1.000 195.26 15.88 1.000 195.26 15.88 1.000 195.22 15.81 1.000 195.22 15.81 1.000 195.22 15.81 1.000 80.49 15.81 1.000 195.22 15.81 1.000 80.55 15.87 1.000	b, d (in), Xu (ft), PhiVc, Vu(kip) b d Vratio PhiVc 80.55 15.87 1.000 149.20 195.26 15.88 1.000 337.41 195.22 15.81 1.000 336.01 80.49 15.81 1.000 336.01 195.26 15.88 1.000 337.41 80.55 15.87 1.000 149.20 195.26 15.88 1.000 337.41 195.22 15.81 1.000 336.01 80.49 15.81 1.000 336.01 80.49 15.81 1.000 336.01 80.49 15.81 1.000 348.50 195.22 15.81 1.000 336.01 80.49 15.81 1.000 336.01 195.26 15.88 1.000 337.41 80.55 15.87 1.000 148.50	b, d (in), Xu (ft), PhiVc, Vu(kip) b d Vratio PhiVc Vu 80.55 15.87 1.000 149.20 10.70 195.26 15.88 1.000 337.41 10.70 195.22 15.81 1.000 336.01 138.99 80.49 15.81 1.000 148.50 146.11 195.22 15.81 1.000 336.01 195.53 195.26 15.88 1.000 337.41 167.19 80.55 15.87 1.000 149.20 117.83 195.26 15.88 1.000 337.41 167.19 195.22 15.81 1.000 336.01 195.53 80.49 15.81 1.000 336.01 195.53 80.49 15.81 1.000 336.01 195.53 80.49 15.81 1.000 336.01 195.53 80.49 15.81 1.000 336.01 138.99 195.26 15.88 1.000 337.41 0.00 80.55 15.87 1.000 149.20 0.00

### Flexural Transfer of Negative Unbalanced Moment at Supports

\_\_\_\_\_

Units: Supp	Width ( Width	in), Munb Width-c	(k-ft), As d	(in^2) Munb	Comb	Pat	GammaF	AsReq	AsProv	Add Bars
1	71.00	71.00	15.88	453.76	U1	 All	0.630	4.105	2.209	5-#6
2	71.00	71.00	15.88	135.09	U1	All	0.600	1.143	4.891	
3	71.00	71.00	15.88	135.09	U1	All	0.600	1.143	4.891	
4	71.00	71.00	15.88	453.76	U1	All	0.630	4.105	2.209	5-#6

#### Punching Shear Around Columns

------

Critical Section Properties

### 

### Punching Shear Results

### Units: Vu (kip) Muph (k-ft) Vu (psi) Phi\*vc (psi)

UNILS.	vu (kip), Mur	ID (K-IL),	vu (psi),	PUT ./	vc (p	SI)		
Supp	Vu	vu	Munb	Comb	Pat	GammaV	vu	Phi*vc
1	174.86	120.1	316.33	U1	All	0.370	203.1	212.1
2	414.86	182.1	-135.09	U1	All	0.400	204.8	212.1
3	414.86	182.1	135.09	U1	All	0.400	204.8	212.1
4	174.87	120.1	-316.33	U1	A11	0.370	203.1	212.1

# Punching Shear Around Drops

\_\_\_\_\_

Critical Section Properties

Units: b1,	b2, b0,	davg, CG,	c(left),	c(right)	(in), Ac	(in^2),	Jc (in^4)		
Supp Type	b1	b2	b0	davg	CG	c(left)	c(right)	Ac	Jc
1 Rect	89.94	159.88	339.75	3.32	56.13	66.13	23.81	1127	9.705e+005
2 Rect	159.88	159.88	639.50	3.32	0.00	79.94	79.94	2121.3	9.0377e+006
3 Rect	159.88	159.88	639.50	3.32	0.00	79.94	79.94	2121.3	9.0377e+006
4 Rect	89.94	159.88	339.75	3.32	-56.13	23.81	66.13	1127	9.705e+005

### Punching Shear Results

Units:	Vu (kip)	, vu (p	si),	Phi*vc (psi	)	
Supp		Vu Comb	Pat	vu	Phi*vc	
1	143.	28 Ul	All	127.1	116.7	*EXCEEDED
2	357.	54 Ul	All	168.5	116.7	*EXCEEDED
3	357.	54 Ul	All	168.5	116.7	*EXCEEDED
4	143.	28 Ul	All	127.1	116.7	*EXCEEDED

# Material Takeoff

### Reinforcement in the Direction of Analysis

Top Bars:	3456.8	lb	<=>	34.34	lb/ft	<=>	1.041	lb/ft^2
Bottom Bars:	3629.1	lb	<=>	36.05	lb/ft	<=>	1.092	lb/ft^2
Stirrups:	0.0	lb	<=>	0.00	lb/ft	<=>	0.000	lb/ft^2
Total Steel:	7085.9	lb	<=>	70.39	lb/ft	<=>	2.133	lb/ft^2
Concrete:	2215.9	ft^3	<=>	22.01	ft^3/ft	<=>	0.667	ft^3/ft^2

Page 1

										0			
				00	00	00				00			
0000	00	0000	000	00		00		000	000	00			
00	0	00	00	00		00	C		00	00			
00		00	00	00	0	00		000	0000	0000	000		
0000	00	00	00		000	00	C	0	00	00	00		
	00	0000	000		00	00	С	0	00	00	00		
0	00	00		00	00	00	o c	0	00	00	00	( <u> </u>	
0000	00	00		000	000	000	)	000	00 0	000	000	(TM)	
Reinfor	Ceu Cop	vria	nt.©	2003-2	015. 9	STRUCI	UREPO	INT	LLC	u sys	stems		
 Reinfor	Cop	yrigl	nt© A	2003-2 11 rigi	015, 8 hts re	STRUCI	TUREPC	INT	, LLC		stems		 

### Section Properties

Frame Section Properties

-----

Units: Ig, Icr (in^4), Mcr (k-ft)

		M	+ve			M-ve	
Span	Zone	Ig	Icr	Mcr	Ig	Icr	Mcr
1	Left	60255	0	233.46	60255	13128	-476.06
	Midspan	60255	0	233.46	60255	13128	-476.06
	Right	60255	0	233.46	60255	13128	-476.06
2	Left	60255	12200	233.46	60255	13128	-476.06
	Midspan	60255	15599	233.46	60255	0	-476.06
	Right	60255	12200	233.46	60255	18722	-476.06
3	Left	60255	10861	233.46	60255	18722	-476.06
	Midspan	60255	13647	233.46	60255	0	-476.06
	Right	60255	10861	233.46	60255	18722	-476.06
4	Left	60255	12200	233.46	60255	18722	-476.06
	Midspan	60255	15599	233.46	60255	0	-476.06
	Right	60255	12200	233.46	60255	13128	-476.06
5	Left	60255	0	233.46	60255	13128	-476.06
	Midspan	60255	0	233.46	60255	13128	-476.06
	Right	60255	0	233.46	60255	13128	-476.06

NOTES: M+ve values are for positive moments (tension at bottom face). M-ve values are for negative moments (tension at top face).

Frame Effective Section Properties

Units: Ie, Ie, avg (in<sup>4</sup>), Mmax (k-ft)

		-			Load I	Level		
			De	ad	Susta	ained	Dead	d+Live
Span	Zone	Weight	Mmax	Ie	Mmax	Ie	Mmax	Ie
1	Right	1.000	-2.19	60255	-2.19	60255	-3.33	60255
	Span Avg			60255		60255		60255
2	Middle	0.850	298.16	37035	298.16	37035	491.82	20376
	Right	0.150	-626.60	36936	-626.60	36936	-1026.20	22869
	Span Avg			37020		37020		20750
3	Left	0.150	-565.78	43465	-565.78	43465	-926.56	24356
	Middle	0.700	132.58	60255	132.58	60255	221.02	60255
	Right	0.150	-565.78	43465	-565.78	43465	-926.56	24356
	Span Avg			55218		55218		49485
4	Left	0.150	-626.60	36936	-626.60	36936	-1026.20	22869
	Middle	0.850	298.16	37035	298.16	37035	491.82	20376
	Span Avg			37020		37020		20750
5	Left	1.000	-2.19	60255	-2.19	60255	-3.34	60255
	Span Avg			60255		60255		60255

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Joist Slab.slb 05-18-2017, 10:33:41 AM

Page 2

Units: Ig (in^4)	Units:	Ig	(in^4)
------------------	--------	----	--------

_	Column	Strip_		Middle	Strip_	
Span	Ig	LDF	Ratio	Ig	LDF	Ratio
1	28289.3	0.800	1.704	28289.3	0.200	0.426
2	28289.3	0.738	1.571	28289.3	0.262	0.559
3	28289.3	0.675	1.438	28289.3	0.325	0.692
4	28289.3	0.738	1.571	28289.3	0.262	0.559
5	28289.3	0.800	1.704	28289.3	0.200	0.426

NOTES: Load distirubtion factor, LDL, averages moment distribution factors listed in [2] Design Results. Ratio refers to proportion of strip to frame deflections under fix-end condtions.

Instantaneous Deflections

-----

Extreme Instantaneous Frame Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units: Def (in), Loc (ft)

					Live		Tota	al
Span	Direction	Value	Dead	Sustained U	Insustained	Total	Sustained	Dead+Live
1	Down	Def						
		Loc						
	Up	Def	-0.017		-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.034
	-	Loc	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Down	Def	0.253		0.374	0.374	0.253	0.627
		Loc	15.000		15.500	15.500	15.000	15.250
	Up	Def						
		Loc						
3	Down	Def	0.070		0.070	0.070	0.070	0.140
		Loc	16.500		16.500	16.500	16.500	16.500
	Up	Def	-0.004		-0.001	-0.001	-0.004	-0.005
	-	Loc	1.571		1.325	1.325	1.571	1.325
4	Down	Def	0.253		0.374	0.374	0.253	0.627
		Loc	18.000		17.500	17.500	18.000	17.750
	Up	Def						
	-	Loc						
5	Down	Def						
		Loc						
	qU	Def	-0.017		-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.034
	-	Loc	0.833		0.833	0.833	0.833	0.833

Extreme Instantaneous Column Strip Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units: Def (in), Loc (ft)

					Live		Tot	al
Span	Direction	Value	Dead	Sustained N	Unsustained	Total	Sustained	Dead+Live
1	Down	Def						
		Loc						
	Up	Def	-0.017		-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.034
	-	Loc	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Down	Def	0.337		0.531	0.531	0.337	0.867
		Loc	15.500		15.750	15.750	15.500	15.750
	Up	Def						
		Loc						
3	Down	Def	0.116		0.107	0.107	0.116	0.222
		Loc	16.500		16.500	16.500	16.500	16.500
	Up	Def	-0.003		-0.001	-0.001	-0.003	-0.004
	-	Loc	1.325		1.079	1.079	1.325	1.079
4	Down	Def	0.337		0.531	0.531	0.337	0.867
		Loc	17.500		17.250	17.250	17.500	17.250
	Up	Def						
	-	Loc						
5	Down	Def						
		Loc						
	qU	Def	-0.017		-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.034
	-1	Loc	0.833		0.833	0.833	0.833	0.833

Extreme Instantaneous Middle Strip Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units: Def (in), Loc (ft)

	(	,	( = = )		Live		Tot	al
Span	Direction	Value	Dead	Sustained	Unsustained	Total	Sustained	Dead+Live
	 Dourn	Dof						
T	DOWII	Der						
		Loc						
	Up	Def	-0.017		-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.034
		Loc	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Down	Def	0.189		0.254	0.254	0.189	0.443
		Loc	14.500		14.750	14.750	14.500	14.750
	Up	Def						
	_	Loc						
3	Down	Def	0.039		0.043	0.043	0.039	0.082
		Loc	16.500		16.500	16.500	16.500	16.500
	Up	Def	-0.005		-0.002	-0.002	-0.005	-0.006
	_	Loc	2.310		1.571	1.571	2.310	1.817

Page	3
------	---

4	Down	Def	0.189	 0.254	0.254	0.189	0.443
		Loc	18.500	 18.250	18.250	18.500	18.250
	Up	Def		 			
		Loc		 			
5	Down	Def		 			
		Loc		 			
	Up	Def	-0.017	 -0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.034
		Loc	0.833	 0.833	0.833	0.833	0.833

Long-term Deflections

Long-term Column Strip Deflection Factors

Time dependant factor for sustained loads = 2.000

Units: Astop, Asbot (in^2), b, d (in), Rho' (%), Lambda (-)

			- , , ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	( -	,,	- ( )					
			M+ve					M-ve				
Span	Zone	Astop	b	d	Rho '	Lambda	Asbot	b	d	Rho'	Lambda	
1	Right				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000	
2	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000	
3	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000	
4	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000	
5	Left				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000	

NOTES: Deflection multiplier, Lambda, depends on moment sign at sustained load level and Rho' in given zone. Rho' is assumed zero because Compression Reinforcement option is NOT selected in Solve Options.

Long-term Middle Strip Deflection Factors

Time dependant factor for sustained loads = 2.000 Units: Astop, Asbot (in^2), b, d (in), Rho' (%), Lambda (-)

	1,	M+ve					M-ve				
Span	Zone	Astop	b	d	Rho '	Lambda	Asbot	b	d	Rho '	Lambda
	Right				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
2	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
3	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
4	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
5	Left				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000

NOTES: Deflection multiplier, Lambda, depends on moment sign at sustained load level and Rho' in given zone. Rho' is assumed zero because Compression Reinforcement option is NOT selected in Solve Options.

Extreme Long-term Column Strip Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units Span	s: D (in), Direction	x (ft) Value	CS	cs+lu	cs+l	Total
1	Down	Def				
		Loc				
	Up	Def	-0.033	-0.050	-0.050	-0.067
		Loc	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Down	Def	0.673	1.204	1.204	1.540
		Loc	15.500	15.750	15.750	15.500
	Up	Def				
		Loc				
3	Down	Def	0.231	0.338	0.338	0.454
		Loc	16.500	16.500	16.500	16.500
	Up	Def	-0.005	-0.006	-0.006	-0.009
		Loc	1.325	1.079	1.079	1.325
4	Down	Def	0.673	1.204	1.204	1.540
		Loc	17.500	17.250	17.250	17.500
	Up	Def				
		Loc				
5	Down	Def				
		Loc				
	Up	Def	-0.033	-0.050	-0.050	-0.067
		Loc	0.833	0.833	0.833	0.833

NOTES: Incremental deflections due to creep and shrinkage (cs) based on sustained load level values. Incremental deflections after partitions are installed can be estimated by deflections due to: - creep and shrinkage plus unsustained live load (cs+lu), if live load applied before partitions, - creep and shrinkage plus live load (cs+l), if live load applied after partitions. Total deflections consist of dead, live, and creep and shrinkage deflections.

Extreme Long-term Middle Strip Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units Span	s: D (in), Direction	x (ft) Value	CS	cs+lu	cs+l	Total
1	Down	Def				
		Loc				
	Up	Def	-0.033	-0.050	-0.050	-0.067
	_	Loc	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Down	Def	0.378	0.632	0.632	0.821
		Loc	14.500	14.500	14.500	14.500
	Up	Def				

NOTES: Incremental deflections due to creep and shrinkage (cs) based on sustained load level values. Incremental deflections after partitions are installed can be estimated by deflections due to: - creep and shrinkage plus unsustained live load (cs+lu), if live load applied before partitions, - creep and shrinkage plus live load (cs+l), if live load applied after partitions. Total deflections consist of dead, live, and creep and shrinkage deflections.





# 7. Summary and Comparison of Design Results

Table 9 - Co	mparison of Moments ob	tained from Hand (EFM)	and spSlab Solution (	ft-kips)
		Hassoun (DDM)#	Hand (EFM)	spSlab
		Exterior Span		
	Exterior Negative*	370.0	335.1	323.8
Column Strip	Positive	444.0	378.0	400.6
	Interior Negative*	748.0	905.9	907.3
	Exterior Negative*		0.0	0.0
Middle Strip	Positive		252.0	267.1
	Interior Negative*		302.0	302.4
		Interior Span		
Column Strin	Interior Negative*		822.8	823.7
Column Surp	Positive		182.6	180.4
Middle Strip	Interior Negative*	249	274.3	274.6
whome Surp	Positive	296	121.8	120.2

\* negative moments are taken at the faces of supports

<sup>#</sup>Direct design method does not distinguish between interior and exterior spans nor explicitly address the effect of column contribution at joints.

Table 10 - Comparison of Reinforcement Results											
Span Location		Reinforcement Provided for Flexure			Additional Reinforcement Provided for Unbalanced Moment Transfer			Total Reinforcement Provided			
			Hand	spSlab	Hassoun	Hand	spSlab	Hassoun	Hand	spSlab	
Exterior Span											
	Exterior Negative	14-#6	14-#6	14-#6		5-#6	5-#6	14-#6	19-#6	19-#6	
Column Strip	Positive	10-#8 2 bars / rib	10-#7 2 bars / rib	10-#7 2 bars / rib		n/a	n/a	10-#8 2 bars / rib	10-#7 2 bars / rib	10-#7 2 bars / rib	
	Interior Negative	28-#6	30-#6	31-#6				28-#6	22-#6	21-#6	
	Exterior Negative	10-#6	14-#6	14-#6		n/a	n/a	10-#6*	14-#6	14-#6	
Middle Strip	Positive	12-#7 2 bars / rib	12-#6 2 bars / rib	12-#6 2 bars / rib		n/a	n/a	12-#7 2 bars / rib	12-#6 2 bars / rib	12-#6 2 bars / rib	
	Interior Negative	10-#6	14-#6	14-#6		n/a	n/a	10-#6*	14-#6	14-#6	
	Interior Span										
Column Strip	Positive	10-#7 2 bars / rib	10-#6 2 bars / rib	10-#6 2 bars / rib		n/a	n/a	10-#7 2 bars / rib	10-#6 2 bars / rib	10-#6 2 bars / rib	
Middle Strip	Positive	10-#6 2 bars / rib	12-#6 2 bars / rib	12-#6 2 bars / rib		n/a	n/a	10-#6 2 bars / rib	12-#6 2 bars / rib	12-#6 2 bars / rib	
* Max spacin	* Max spacing requirement exceeded (not checked)										

# Structure Point CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS



Table 11 – Comparison of One-Way (Beam Action) Shear Check Results											
Span -	$V_u$ @	d, kips	V <sub>u</sub> @ drop panel, kips		$\varphi V_c @ d$	l , kips	$\varphi V_c$ @ drop panel, kips				
	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab			
Exterior	188.8	195.5	145.7	146.1	336.0	336.0	148.5	148.5			
Interior 160.9 167.2 117.8 117.8 337.4 337.4 149.2 149.2											
* One-way shear check is not provided in the reference (Hassoun and Al-Manaseer)											

Tab	Table 12 - Comparison of Two-Way (Punching) Shear Check Results (around Columns Faces)											
Summent	<b>b</b> 1	, in.	<i>b</i> <sub>2</sub> , in.		<i>b</i> <sub>0</sub> , in.		$V_u$ , kips		<i>cAB</i> , in.			
Support	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab		
Exterior	27.94	27.94	35.88	35.88	91.76	91.75	153.97	174.86	8.51	8.51		
Interior	35.88	35.88	35.88	35.88	143.52	143.50	393.83	414.86	17.94	17.94		
Corner	27.94	27.94	27.94	27.94	55.88	55.88	92.10	92.43	6.99	6.98		
Summent	<i>J</i> <sub>c</sub> ,	in. <sup>4</sup>		γv	Munb,	ft-kips	Vu	, psi	<i>øvc,</i> psi			
Support	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab		
Exterior	144,092	143,990	0.370	0.370	341.27	316.33	195.2	203.1	212.1	212.1		
Interior	512,956	512,570	0.400	0.400	134.00	135.09	195.3	204.8	212.1	212.1		

Table 13 - Comparison of Two-Way (Punching) Shear Check Results (around Drop Panels)										
Support	<i>b</i> <sub>1</sub> , in.		<i>b</i> <sub>2</sub> , in.		b <sub>o</sub> , in.		$V_u$ , kips		<i>с</i> <sub>АВ</sub> , in.	
	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab
Exterior	89.94	89.94	159.88	159.88	339.76	339.75	123.33	143.28	23.81	23.81
Interior	159.88	159.88	159.88	159.88	639.52	639.50	337.73	357.54	79.94	79.94
Corner	89.94	89.94	89.94	89.94	179.88	179.87	75.22	75.17	22.49	22.48

Support	<i>J</i> <sub>c</sub> ,	in. <sup>4</sup>	Vu,	psi	$\varphi v_{c}$ , psi		
	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	
Exterior	971,437	970,500	109.3	127.1	116.7	116.7	
Interior	9,046,406	9,037,700	159.1	168.5	116.7	116.7	
Corner	503,491	503,010	126.0	126.0	116.7	116.7	

General notes:

Red values are exceeding permissible shear capacity
Hand calculations fail to capture analysis details possible in spSlab like accounting for the exact value of the moments and shears at supports and including the loads for the small slab section extending beyond the supporting column centerline.





Table 14 - Comparison of Immediate Deflection Results (in.)											
Column Strip											
Snon	D		D+LL <sub>sus</sub>		D+LL <sub>full</sub>		LL				
Span	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab			
Exterior	0.222	0.337	0.222	0.337	0.762	0.867	0.540	0.530			
Interior	0.082	0.116	0.082	0.116	0.196	0.222	0.114	0.106			
			Ν	/iddle Strip							
Snor		D	<b>D</b> +]	LL <sub>sus</sub>	<b>D</b> +1	$LL_{full}$	Ι	L			
Span	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab			
Exterior	0.128	0.189	0.128	0.189	0.442	0.443	0.315	0.254			
Interior	0.025	0.039	0.025	0.039	0.057	0.082	0.033	0.043			

Table 15 - Comparison of Time-Dependent Deflection Results									
Column Strip									
Span	$\lambda_{\Delta}$		$\Delta_{\rm cs}$ , in.		$\Delta_{ ext{total}}$ , in.				
	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab			
Exterior	2.000	2.000	0.445	0.679	1.207	1.453			
Interior	2.000	2.000	0.164	0.245	0.360	0.493			
Middle Strip									
Span	$\lambda_{\Delta}$		$\Delta_{\rm cs}$ , in.		$\Delta_{\text{total}}$ , in.				
	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab			
Exterior	2.000	2.000	0.255	0.434	0.698	0.902			
Interior	2.000	2.000	0.050	0.142	0.107	0.291			

In all of the hand calculations illustrated above, the results are in close or exact agreement with the automated analysis and design results obtained from the spSlab model. The deflection results from spSlab are, however, more conservative than hand calculations for two main reasons explained previously: 1) Values of  $I_g$  and  $I_{cr}$  at the negative section exclude the stiffening effect of the drop panel and 2) The  $I_{e,avg}$  used by spSlab considers equations for prismatic members.



## 8. Conclusions & Observations

A slab system can be analyzed and designed by any procedure satisfying equilibrium and geometric compatibility. Three established methods are widely used. The requirements for two of them are described in detail in ACI 318-14 Chapter 8 (8.2.1).

Direct Design Method (DDM) is an approximate method and is applicable to two-way slab concrete floor systems that meet the stringent requirements of <u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2)</u>. In many projects, however, these requirements limit the usability of the Direct Design Method significantly.

The Equivalent Frame Method (EFM) does not have the limitations of Direct Design Method. It requires more accurate analysis methods that, depending on the size and geometry can prove to be long, tedious, and time-consuming.

StucturePoint's <u>spSlab</u> software program solution utilizes the Equivalent Frame Method to automate the process providing considerable time-savings in the analysis and design of two-way slab systems as compared to hand solutions using DDM or EFM.

Finite Element Method (FEM) is another method for analyzing reinforced concrete slabs, particularly useful for irregular slab systems with variable thicknesses, openings, and other features not permissible in DDM or EFM. Many reputable commercial FEM analysis software packages are available on the market today such as <u>spMats</u>. Using FEM requires critical understanding of the relationship between the actual behavior of the structure and the numerical simulation since this method is an approximate numerical method. The method is based on several assumptions and the operator has a great deal of decisions to make while setting up the model and applying loads and boundary conditions. The results obtained from FEM models should be verified to confirm their suitability for design and detailing of concrete structures.

The following table shows a general comparison between the DDM, EFM and FEM. This table covers general limitations, drawbacks, advantages, and cost-time efficiency of each method where it helps the engineer in deciding which method to use based on the project complexity, schedule, and budget.







Applicable		Concrete Slab Analysis Method						
318-14	Limitations/Applicability	DDM	EEM	EEM				
Provision		(Hand)	(Hand//spSlab)	(spMats)				
8.10.2.1	Minimum of three continuous spans in each direction	Ø						
8.10.2.2	Successive span lengths measured center-to- center of supports in each direction shall not differ by more than one-third the longer span	Ø						
8.10.2.3	Panels shall be rectangular, with ratio of longer to shorter panel dimensions, measured center-to-center supports, not exceed 2.	Ø	Ø					
8.10.2.4	Column offset shall not exceed 10% of the span in direction of offset from either axis between centerlines of successive columns	Ø						
8.10.2.5	All loads shall be due to gravity only	V						
8.10.2.5	All loads shall be uniformly distributed over an entire panel $(q_u)$	Ø						
8.10.2.6	Unfactored live load shall not exceed two times the unfactored dead load	V						
8.10.2.7	For a panel with beams between supports on all sides, slab-to-beam stiffness ratio shall be satisfied for beams in the two perpendicular directions.	Ø						
8.7.4.2	Structural integrity steel detailing	V	V	$\checkmark$				
8.5.4	Openings in slab systems	Ø	Ø	Ø				
8.2.2	Concentrated loads	Not permitted	$\square$					
8.11.1.2	Live load arrangement (Load Patterning)	Not required	Required	Engineering judgment required based on modeling technique				
R8.10.4.5*	Reinforcement for unbalanced slab moment transfor to column $(\mathbf{M}_{i})$	Moments @	Moments @	Engineering judgment required				
	Irregularities (i.e. variable thickness, non- prismatic, partial bands, mixed systems, support arrangement, etc.)	Not permitted	Engineering judgment required	Engineering judgment required				
Complexity		Low	Average	Complex to very complex				
Design time/costs		Fast	Limited	Unpredictable/Costly				
Design Economy		Conservative (see detailed comparison with spSlab output)	Somewhat conservative	Unknown - highly dependent on modeling assumptions: 1. Linear vs. non-linear 2. Isotropic vs non-isotropic 3. Plate element choice 4. Mesh size and aspect ratio 5. Design & detailing features				
General (Drawbacks)		Very limited applications	Limited geometry	Limited guidance non-standard application (user dependent). Required significant engineering judgment				
General (Advantages)		Very limited analysis is required	Detailed analysis is required or via software (e.g. spSlab)	Unlimited applicability to handle complex situations permissible by the features of the software used (e.g. spMats)				
The unbalar	The unbalanced slab moment transferred to the column $M_{sc}$ ( $M_{unb}$ ) is the difference in slab moment on either side of a column at a specific joint. In DDM only moments at the face of the support are calculated and are also used to obtain $M_{c}$ ( $M_{c}$ ). In EEM where a frame analysis is used							
moments at th	the column center line are used to obtain $M_{sc}$ ( $M_{unt}$	)	wisc (winnb). III ET W	where a frame analysis is used,				